# ‘The Balk’

**What does it mean, and what will it mean to America’s future?**




By **Billy Roper**

Dedicated to my best friend and inspiration, and the best editor ever, my wife, Tina Louise Roper.

*Matthew 10:34 "Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword."*

*Luke 12:49 "I am come to send fire on the earth; and what will I, if it be already kindled?"*

## Introduction

*By Gregory Kay*

-Gregory Kay was born to a blue-collar family in Point Pleasant, West Virginia, in 1958. A graduate of Point Pleasant High School's Class of 1976, he has held a wide variety of jobs, ranging from fur trapper to business manager, from white-water guide to police and security officer, from construction worker to martial arts instructor. Politically he is a Southern Nationalist, and has held state-level office in the League of the South, and state- and national-level positions with the Southern Party/Southern National Committee.

First published at the age of 19 in The West Virginia Woodsman, his fiction and non-fiction have been widely published in a variety of internet and hard-copy publications, including The First Freedom monthly newspaper, for which he is the West Virginia correspondent. Besides his own books, his works have also appeared in three Point Pleasant Writers' Guild anthologies.

Among his works are included the ‘Third Revolution’ tetralogy, ‘The Barnacles of Aegir’, ‘Death In Dark Country’, ‘Wings In Darkness’, and ‘Dark Paths’.

In the interests of full disclosure, Mr. Kay and this author do disagree on one potential aspect of the coming balkanization of America. As a Southern Nationalist, he believes that the former Confederate states will regain their independence as a White nation. In his view, the fighting spirit, discipline, and

superior grasp and use of technology (not least of which as it pertains to warfare and weaponry) will allow White Southerners to overcome their adversaries to a degree which will render the numerical disparity inconsequential. This is a more optimistic view than the one I hold, but not only do I respect his position, obviously, it is also my most fervent hope that it is he who is correct in this, rather than myself. There are some times when you just want to be wrong. This is one of them. Either way, here is Gregory, in his own words…

***Introduction***

I was both surprised and flattered to be asked by the author of this book to introduce his latest work. I was familiar with Billy Roper, of course; anyone in the White Nationalist community for any length of time has heard his name, but we knew each other via cyber-space, where we were casual acquaintances, although we've never met in person. That's why his request came as a bit of a shock, and the flattered part came when I found out he made it after reading one of my own books, THE THIRD REVOLUTION (And yes, I am aware that was a shameless plug on my part.). So, not to be outdone, since he'd read one of mine, I read two of his: HASTEN THE DAY and the pre-release copy of the one you now hold in your hand, THE BALK.

To make a long story short, Billy is one hell of a writer.

I have to admit that surprised me just a little too; when it comes to the White Nationalist fiction in this country, it's been my experience that a clumsy sawmill worker could count the

ones who are actually good at it on the remaining fingers of one hand, and Billy is one of those. He turns out writing that (except for being so politically-incorrect that it makes leftists squeal like pigs and wet their frilly little lace panties) is on a par in quality to anything you're likely to find on the shelves of your local bookstore, and better than most.

No, we don't have a little writers' 'bromance' going on here; he and I have our differences of opinion, some of them serious, especially on the subjects of religion, the South, and most especially on what we Southerners are fully capable of doing if pushed into a live-and die corner. Still, that's all theory on both our parts, and we have a professional respect for one another, even if our respective research brings us to different conclusions.

Speaking of research, that's just what a good bit of THE BALK is. The author has amassed a pretty impressive collection of facts and figure, arranged it all in a  readable fashion, and then laid out his conclusions and his advice to survive the scenario they've led him to believe is coming. While I may not agree with all his regional conclusions, I definitely agree that the tragedy looming on the horizon, of the breakup of America and of impending race war, is inevitable at this point.

This book will help you to understand it, get your head around it, and to survive it.

Gregory Kay

**Prologue: From the ‘Hasten The Day’ trilogy universe…**

A Century After The Balk

His dog-eared copy of ‘Founding Father’s Son’ lay across the 2100 A.D. centennial translation of the Holy Bible, approved by the Church of Israel. The two official government publications were mandatory, but Thomas Jefferson McNabb was the kind of old-fashioned moderate who preferred to keep the volumes separate, rather than combined as the stricter denominations published them, these days.

Aside from his Great Grandfather’s biography and the scripture, T.J. didn’t own any other print books. The collected libraries of human history were available on demand as neural

downloads, even for Organics like himself. If, like millions of others, he was willing to surrender his Numircan citizenship and undergo GeMO-therapy for the off-world colonies, memory enhancement was one of the several mutative options available.

For the colonies which required a greater phenotypic shift from the Organic base norm, of course, post-vitro mods were prohibitively expensive and complicated. The system-wide celebrity and dynastic heir-apparent had his niche in life chiseled out for himself before he was conceived, the old fashioned way. T.J. could no more have been preborn a Troll with a skeletal structure engineered to survive the gravity well of Bol’Shoy on Kepteyn b, or a Gill able to breathe the atmosphere on Gliese 832 c, than he could have been born an Asian. Of course, both of those colonies were a half a lifetime’s flight away. The kids born with those mods had plenty of time to grow to maturity at half light speed before they arrived in the new worlds.

Royal and Noble families of Citizen and Taxpayer status were allowed unregulated reproductive privileges, but the Residence and Lumpen classes were eugenically matched to continue the Ethnicity Resegregation Initiatives which had been legislated by his grandfather, just before the Northern and Western Hemispheric Union.

First Citizen McNabb often sat a bit apart from his security at the Martyr’s Park bench by the fountain, to savor the illusion of anonymity. He could watch the clusters of Celtic red-

heads and blonde Nords and round-faced Slavs maneuvering around one another like schools of differently colored tropical fish, with smaller pods of darker Med and Alp subethnics darting in and out. Government run schools weren’t ethnically segregated, yet, but the academic courses were customized to suit the different inherited personality types and proclivities of the subraces.

This morning, he had explained the diversification process to his son, G.W., again. The nine year old had ran in, winded from climbing a tree with the other boys who all felt like super-heroes in the lunar colony’s low gravity, to ask why his friend Jimmy’s big sister had to “marry a boy who looked like her.”

T.J. had laughed. “Well, son, you’ve studied natural selection in Biology class, right?”

“Um, Yes, Sir. Kinda.” George Washington McNabb said, hesitantly.

“Don’t worry, I’m not going to test you, buddy,” his dad reassured him, cutting up an apple and handing it to the boy, one slice at a time.

“Don’t choke on that. Okay, here goes. All species differentiate, they specialize, within themselves, as different bloodlines within the bigger overall group develop different inherited traits, right? Like the pigeons, some became gray and could hide in the smog and survive, while the white ones got seen by the hawks and eaten?”

“I remember,” G.W. said, munching away.

“And, remember that some of those specializations are the result of climactic or other environmental adaptations, and some are accidental, and some are on purpose? The ones on purpose, we call ‘eugenics’?”

“Like the Trolls?” the youngster asked, wide-eyed. He had forgotten his apple slices for a moment.

“Yes, like the Trolls. So, the way that natural selection works is that the different sub-groups, or sub-species, within a species, all compete for territory and resources, to see which of them is the best adapted, the most fit, and has the best physical and mental traits needed to survive, right?”

“That’s what our teacher said. She says that’s why she has blue eyes,” the boy contributed. Finally, the last wedge disappeared.

“Well, she’s right. And the best suited, most favored, subspecies wins the natural selection contest. And what happens to the other subspecies, the other races, son? Remember?

“They go extinct. Like dark-skinned people,” G.W. answered. “So, that’s natural?”

“Sure, it’s natural. You see, multiracialism, having more than one race mix together and especially to interbreed, well, that destroys the true diversity, the real genetic and inherited differences between different population groups, that nature requires for the natural selection process to continue. See?”

“Just like I made my apple gone? It’s extinct!” the royal heir asked.

“Kind of, but even worse, because instead of just being gone, what’s left is neither the same subspecies or race as the mother or the father. And all of the tens of thousands of years, or even longer, of adapting and evolving and adding recessive genes, is gone, buried in the more primitive, less evolved dominant genes, reverted back to the base form. All the hard work and sacrifices of all their generations of ancestors is lost, undone. Do you remember what ‘recessive’ means?” the man asked.

“It means newer, more recent, more advanced, right? Like the sharp edge of the knife you used to cut the apple, dad?”

“Very good. And if that edge is lost, if the most recent, most advanced, recessive genes are buried by mixing them back with the dominant, less advanced, less recent forms, like mixing recessive blue eyes with dominant brown eyes, …well, it’s a step backward for the human species.”

“Hot pipe! I get it. So, why does she have to marry a boy who looks like her?” G.W. queried, trying to see the whole picture.

His dad smiled, ruffling his son’s hair. “Because, once all of the subspecies, or races, except for one have become extinct, the one surviving race becomes the new de facto species, son. Then the whole process of differentiation and specialization and competition between groups as a part of the ongoing

natural selection process continues. The different ethnicities within that race become the new de facto races within the new de facto species. Like that knife edge, it’s a continual honing process, to keep us, humanity, constantly improving sharpening, evolving into something greater, and better. That’s God’s design. His will is for us to constantly improve ourselves as individuals and ourselves collectively as His children, through the process He created.”

“So, God wants them to get married?” the fifth grader wondered.

“You could say that. By marrying and having babies with people who are like us genetically, our own race and even our own ethnicity, we help to re-sort out the new species, and establish different races within the new species, who will compete with each other to see which is most fit. It just keeps going, forever, it has to, or we fall backwards.” came the patient response.

“Oh,” a light bulb came on in his mind, lighting his eyes. “So, what about the Trolls and Gills and the others? Are they new races, too?”

“Yes, they are, and they’re almost new species, already. Genetically, they’ve been modified so far away from the base, that they almost aren’t genetically compatible with Organics like you and me any more. Kind of like donkeys and horses make mules that are sterile, right?”

“That’s good.” the boy said, thoughtfully, wrinkling up his nose. “I’ve seen pictures of Troll girls, they’re uuuuuuuuggggggggllllllllyyyyyyyyyy!”

“Probably they wouldn’t be if you were a Troll boy, though,” T.J. noted, grinning. His son made an exaggerated gagging noise.

“So, do they hafta?” the interrogation boiled down to. The father emphatically shook his head.

“No, son, nobody has to follow the reproductive laws. Or God’s laws, even. There are tax write-offs for those who do, and family subsidies if you fit certain genetic criteria…certain standards. But anyone who doesn’t want to live by our laws, can leave. They can take off with whomever they want, even, together, if they both decide to.”

“Where do people like that go, daddy?” his son’s pale eyebrows rose, imagining outlaws running off, hand in hand.

“Well, down in the Republic of Texas, people just have kids with whatever featherless biped they want to, willy-nilly. Even in Deseret, they generally marry anybody their church approves of. Usually more than one, at that. So, they’re welcome there, too, if they convert.”

“What about on the other colonies? Do they follow birthing laws?”, G.W. asked. He looked up, past the lunar dome, towards the stars.

“Of course ours do, but like in Texas and Argentina and Deseret, most of the orbital platforms and new worlds don’t. At least not yet. A couple of them even allow homosexuals to marry.”

“I guess that means that our way of doing things is competing with theirs, too, to see which is best, huh, dad?”

“Right. And we’ll let God decide which way is truly His will, son. That’s all we can do, is leave it in His hands.”

That gave his son something to think about while he ran back outside to climb up into that moon tree again and explain things to his friend.

It was difficult for anyone, of any age, to come to grips with the understanding that at long last, a portion of humanity had control over its own destiny, and held its own future. Even for the Speaker. Finally, there was a rope over the abyss, and only eyes such as his could see what awaited on the other side. Man was something which was being overcome. While science had handed him the power to manipulate the intelligence levels and personality types and physical attributes of the next generation, he would not. The responsibility of the higher man was to sort them out, and let God and His nature judge.




## Chapter One

*Pleased to meet you, hope you  guess my name.*

"Balkanization means to me what will inevitably happen. Different Races cannot be forced to live together. The only natural and peaceful way for different Races to live is separately. I believe that Racial separatism leads to peace. Balkanization will cause conflict at the beginning, but once we are all separated naturally then we will have harmony. You cannot enforce harmony and make different Races live together who are not supposed to. It only leads to Racial tension and conflict as you see today in multiracial societies all around the world. All Races would be a lot happier living independently in their own Racially homogenous Nations. Anything else is abhorrent and unnatural."

~Mark from Australia~

Balkanization. Some of you may have read or heard the word before. To others, it might be new, and sound alien. One of those two dollar words used by politicians and lawyers or medical doctors. The kind of word you ignore, like the side effect warnings at the end of pharmaceutical commercials. Balkanization. It has a lot of syllables. It sounds kind of like it might be the root word for our term ‘to Balk’, a word meaning ‘to hesitate or be unwilling to accept an idea or an undertaking’. That definition might be more appropriate to people’s reaction to the reality and our imminent and inevitable future, but it’s not actually the word we’re looking for itself, or the correct definition. In the future, we might very well refer to what is going to happen in the past tense as ‘The

Balk', as verbal shorthand, but that will carry a different meaning, except for those who wish then that it had happened sooner. Words, of course, have objective, non -socially constructed meaning. They are symbols of their own origin, and within that linguistic DNA, hide the traces of their essence.

What, then, does 'Balkanization' mean? Let's break the word down. In origin, it comes from a reference to the Balkans, the area of southeastern Europe which, up until the 1990s, we referred to as Yugoslavia, for a while. Some of you might remember what happened there. If you do, hold onto that thought for a minute. So, Balkanization means, 'to become like the Balkans', in one sense. What, then, are the Balkans like?

Well, the Balkans have always been a divided and contentious area of the European continent, being at the cultural and religious crossroads of Catholicism, Orthodox Christianity, and Islam. The Muslim invaders made it that far, and got stopped, but they stayed, in the southern half of the region. Some of them today are the descendants of forcibly converted Whites. Some are of mixed, part Turkic ancestry.

Not inconsequentially, among the non-Muslim population, there is a further division between Alpinids of a central European type, like the Croats, and Slavs, of the eastern European type, like the Serbs. And, of course, lots of people who are mixtures of the three; Bosnians, Kosovoans, Hercegovians, even Albanians and Macedonians. These many groupings have often led to genocide, on both sides, even absent any other differences. So much for unity through

diversity. The Croats sided with Germany in W.W.II, and use the same alphabet as us, and are mainly Catholic. The Serbs sided with the Soviet Union, and use the Cyrillic alphabet. They are primarily Eastern Orthodox in religion. Both have their own spoken language, as well. They hate each other.

Pan-Slavism, by the way, the international effort to unite all Slavic people. was a major force behind the Serbian independence movement of one hundred years ago, which led to the assassination of Archduke Ferdinand of Austria. This event kicked off W.W.I when Germany backed up Austria and Russia backed up Serbia through the system of entangling alliances. W.W. I sowed the seeds of W.W.II, after which Yugoslavia became a Soviet puppet state. The history of not playing well with others is as sharp in the Balkans as anywhere in the world. Dr. Tomislav Sunic, a former Croatian diplomat and White Nationalist academician who was one of the founding faculty of the Soldiers of the Cross Training Institute, has written extensively on his nation's history, in that regard. Thomas Chittum, who served as a mercenary in the Yugoslavian Civil War on the Croat side, has written extensively about the lessons we should learn from that conflict in his seminal 'Civil War Two: The Coming Breakup of America'. Of particular interest in his work are the prophecies of what will happen in, and to, ethnic enclaves once cleansing begins.

History is full of multiracial societies which have always imploded into racial civil war and collapse, if they haven't eventually degraded through racial amalgamation. Those are the ONLY two long-term end results of multiracialism. The

ONLY two choices. Just as the United States is more divided racially and culturally and politically than it has ever been since the last Civil War, the pattern we are now following is not unique. It happened in the Austria-Hungarian Empire. It happened in the Ottoman Empire, where Kemal Ataturk purposefully dismantled their multiracial state and downsized it into Turkey, following the first world war. It happened, even, to the Soviet Union. Multiracial empires are by definition unstable. Homogeneous states, where people share a common race, ethnicity, language, culture, and religion, by definition, are more stable. Diversity is not a strength, it is a weakness. Unity and diversity are antonyms, and antithetical concepts.

President Bill Clinton bombed Serbia during the Yugoslavian Civil War of the 1990's, because as a multiracial empire itself, those who rule the United States prefer to blame one specific side in any conflict: the Hutus rather than the Tutsis in Rwanda, for example, rather than recognizing and acknowledging that diversity is the cause of conflict. That's why Slobodan Milosevic faced war crimes trials. That's why we need a bad guy, always, to scapegoat, both internationally and domestically, whenever two diverse groups clash due to having markedly different interests and goals. Admitting that nature abhors multiculturalism even more than it does a vacuum would be very problematic for those singing 'Kumbaya' to their multi-racial subjects from Washington.

According to recent polls, not only do most Americans understand that our nation is more divided than it has been within memory, many also do not believe that the United

States as we know it will still exist as one nation a single generation from now. For those whose heads are still in the sand, History is once again a willing teacher. The past is full of events, wars and revolutions, governments rising and falling, that very few would have predicted, shortly before they happened. Who would have thought that the Soviet Union would collapse inwards on itself without a single missile being fired, as recently as 1985? Or that the Berlin Wall would come down, without a shot? Of course, in the morning’s light of hindsight, twenty-twenty vision often makes these changes seem to have been inevitable. So, too, will the collapse of the United States seem to those who survive it, once it has happened. We are fortunate in having the prescience to see it coming, and as much as is possible prepare to position ourselves, physically and politically, to take advantage of the change which is on its way. The fall of multiracialism. The contemporaneous rise of racially specific mini-states in its absence. And one of them being, our new White republic. Our New America.

The political definition of Balkanization, then, taken from the Balkans, where such infighting and conflict has ruled, is quite simply the breakup of a larger multiracial or multiethnic state into smaller, more racially, culturally, ethnically, and religiously homogeneous (similar) nations. As the Irish poet Yeats wrote, ‘Things fall apart. The centre cannot hold. The blood dimmed tide unfurls, and everywhere, the ceremony of innocence is drowned…and the best lack all conviction, while the worst are full of passionate intensity.”

In the United States, the Balkanization process is not just one of political, cultural, and religious separation. Indeed, the very real re-migration of the races within the borders of this nation are furthering the re-segregation of the peoples who make up the country, and accelerating the ongoing Balkanization, as the centripetal force that has held us together weakens. Things fall apart. The center cannot hold.

Blacks from large urban areas in the Northeast and Midwest are moving back to the Southeastern corner of the United States, to the former Dixie, due to crime and poverty up North, crime and poverty which are caused by the blacks themselves, so they can no more escape them than a person carrying the black death could escape the plague. Likewise, Hispanic immigrants, both legal and illegal, are flooding into the southwest and border states like a brown tide, ostensibly to escape the kind of third world economy that is created by, well, third worlders. It's not that they fail to understand that third world countries don't make inferior people, inferior people make third world countries. They know that fact all too well. That's why they flood into White created countries parasitically. Cubans don't try to float to Haiti. Mexicans don't try to climb the fence to get into Guatemala. The flow of the tsunami is one directional, like Harry Styles…right at us. Only White liberals believe, or pretend to believe, that race and culture and economics are separate factors without mutual causation.

As more blacks move into the Southeast, and more Latrinos move into the Southwest, more Whites move out. It's White

flight, on a grand scale. Rather than just moving out of the inner cities, or from one suburb to another, to escape 'crime', or 'urban blight', or for 'better schools', or some other namby-pamby liberal euphemism for getting away from the lower primates, Whites are moving to different counties, and commuting for hours each way. Many of them are pulling up roots and moving out of state entirely. In fact, that's been the leading trend for over a decade, now. As the numbers I present will show, according to the U.S. Census Bureau, from 2000 to 2010 millions of White people moved out of the deep South to the upper South, and out of the Southwest to the upper West. They moved out of Georgia to Tennessee. They moved out of Mississippi, to Arkansas. They moved out of California, to Wyoming and Montana and Idaho.

The net effect of this ongoing and accelerating internal remigration has been the beginning of a very real racial segregation of the United States, voluntarily. From the Appalachians to the Columbia Plateau, the United States is becoming Whiter in terms of percentage of population, as the Southeast becomes blacker and the Southwest, browner. Both coasts are diverse to the point of polyglot cacophony. These five distinct regions are evolving in very different directions, and the speed at which this occurs increases with every race riot, every demonstration, every shooting, and every flag or monument controversy.

This is especially the case when one removes from the map the isolated urban enclaves of nonWhites in the Midwest, as will doubtless occur when America breaks up and those cities

are surrounded by rural White areas uninterested in continuing to provide them with electric power, food, or running water. Imagine, if you will, the demographics of a Missouri without St. Louis or Kansas City. An Illinois, without Chicago and its environs. The bubbles these racial enclaves create may dominate state politics in those Midwestern states right now, due to the number of votes and the amount of Federal dollars they generate, but when neither voting nor the Federal government matter, any more, neither will they, or the people in them.

Many people decide to move whenever the controlled media shows a riot on their evening news, but others hesitate. They want to wait until the real estate prices are better, not recognizing that the more nonWhites enter their area, the lower price their house will fetch on the market, as property values plummet. Others want to wait until their kids are out of school, which leads to those kids forming roots in the diverse areas, and often having grandchildren there, further tying people to the invaded region. Some want to wait until they retire. Some want to wait until they save up enough money. Some may be waiting to find the perfect job in a Whiter area, or a perfect house. What they might be surprised by, is that The Balk may not wait for them. Those who are caught behind the enemy lines when the Balkanization begins, will wish they had moved sooner.

Popular culture has often been a barometer of not just social, but political trends. During the Cold War, people sensed the internal rot within our own system spreading, and turned

to movies like 'Red Dawn', where collaborating politicians and gun controllers were depicted as just as much enemies of our nation as Soviet troops. Hundreds of books depicted a nuclear Armageddon, and the life after it, wherein the survivors would have a chance to start over. Today, society's reboot button is depicted in Zombie Apocalypse movies, so much so that a popular expression has become "the hardest thing about the apocalypse will be pretending that I'm not excited". Not only do few people envision our nation lasting another decade, most people only half secretly hope that it doesn't. Especially those who are the most patriotic, and who love our nation and our people the most. With Whites overall becoming a smaller percentage of the overall population every day, it's not difficult to understand why. The sooner the inevitable happens, the more of our people will survive, and the more territory we will hold onto for our new nation. Multiracial democracy cannot fly. What cannot fly, must fall.

On my 'Radio New America' program on White Pride Radio, I spent well over a solid year going over the demographic trends, analyzing their drivers and assessing their impact. In my near future fiction trilogy of books, 'Hasten The Day', 'Waiting For The Sun', and 'Wasting The Dawn', I used a more accessible narrative to spread the meme to a wider and more diverse audience. But some of the biggest obstacles to migration to the right areas of New America are not the Pioneer Little Europes, or P.L.E.'s, such as the ones in Montana and the Dakotas, which are right where they need to be. Those communities of mutually supportive White Nationalists

are doing yeoman's work for our people, and it is my sincere hope that they will continue to grow into centers of cultural and political power for our folk. The two biggest hindrances are, as I see it, firstly, the misconceptions of those who dream of the Pacific Northwest, and secondly, a misplaced native patriotism.

As to the first issue, another White Nationalist fiction writer, Harold Covington, has promoted the idea of a new White nation-state rising in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. To be fair, the idea was first espoused by Pastor Richard Butler and others. With all due respect to the honorable and otherwise praiseworthy patriots who promote the 'Northwest Imperative' ideal, that dog just won't hunt. Those readers who have visited Portland and Seattle, and know just how extremely liberal the White residents in those two cities which largely dominate the region are, understand why. Yes, it's a White area. An anti-White White area. If no one has noticed, that region also borders a rather large body of water I'd like to call their attention to, one which will be dominated by China once America goes down and a political vacuum exists there. Let me be clear, when Balkanization occurs, the Pacific Ocean will become a Chinese lake, just as I discuss in 'Hasten The Day'. The loss of the U.S. consumer market for their products will only make the Chinese acquisition of new territory and resources more desperately motivated. That's precisely why Japan began their Imperial acquisitions in the late 1930s, after we embargoed petroleum and steel sales to them. I don't

know if Harold Covington can speak Mandarin, yet, but if not, he'd better learn it.

The Chinese, ostensibly to protect the large Chinese population in the Bay Area of California and elsewhere if the U.S. Federal government is no longer able to insure their safety, or perhaps as peacekeepers, with or without blue helmets, will most likely come to the U.S. West coast, and stay to fight the Mexicans for it. We'll throw our hat in the ring to reclaim it once New America has reconsolidated our strength and resources, but that might take a few years. When it happens, by the way, as I wrote of in the sequel to 'Hasten The Day', 'Waiting For The Sun', then and only then will we get the Pacific waterfront back.

So, the 'Northwest Imperative', in this author's mind, is a bad idea. Likewise a bad idea, in my opinion, is the League of the South's dream of resurrecting Dixie. As a proud Southerner, and a grateful descendant of those who fought and killed and died for the Confederacy, I don't say this with any particular glee, but the deep South is in all likelihood going to be, initially, New Africa. Those Whites who think otherwise are optimistic, to say the least. When America goes down, and all bets are off, the black street gangs and mobs of looters will spread out from the cities just as far as Whites will allow them. Observing White behavior in recent years, including Southern Whites, I'm not overly impressed with the likelihood that they will stand up to them soon enough to keep much territory- with the possible exceptions of a few enclaves on the Gulf coast and in a couple of mountainous areas. Otherwise, those

who can, should leave the deep South, now. Remember Ferguson, and Baltimore, and take a very careful look at a map of the Southern U.S., paying particular attention to the route between where you live, and New America. One or two counties which are majority White won't hold out. This is not a Civil War reenactment. This will be total genocide. Plan accordingly.

Rest assured, the way that a nuclear fission explosion happens is that atoms are concentrated and pushed together into such a limited space that they eventually explode outwards with tremendous force, and similarly, we, White Americans, those who built this nation and for whom it was established, will take it back. But if the victorious White forces reconquer a temporarily lost area of the nation a decade after the Balkanization, when blacks and Mexicans have proven unable to feed themselves or maintain civilization or a standing army deserving of the name, and all they find are your bodies, you won't derive much pleasure from the event. Live to see the victory. Don't be a martyr.

"What kind of event might be the trigger for the final Balkanization?" That's a question I'm often asked by readers. Generally, people have in mind an economic collapse, stock market panic, EMP attack, electric grid failure, pandemic virus, or war with a foreign nation in mind, when they think of what might push the U.S. past the tipping point. Others might anticipate that Hispanic secession or revolt may occur, ripping the final threads holding together the fabric of our body politic, once their percentage of the population grows to a

certain level in concentrated areas. Demonstrations or protests might turn into race riots that could get out of hand, and spread from one city to another, on any given hot summer night. A hate crime, in one direction or another, could result in growing waves of reprisals. An openly tyrannical government might declare martial law or strip citizens of their second or first amendment rights, triggering a rebellion. U.S. military and police units, largely racially mixed, would not be immune to internal divisions. But those are all best case scenarios. It's also possible that the Balkanization might occur more slowly and organically, and gradually take effect without an abrupt social or political change.

Senators, Representatives, and of course state legislators often just do the bidding of their constituencies. That's how they get re-elected, and their jobs depend on it. When those constituencies and their interests change, so do the loyalties of certain elected officials. Perhaps not even as often as they should, but often enough. It is possible that politicians of states becoming more and more White may eventually forward White interests, even to the point of withdrawing from a weakened Federal government. They could combine, or Confederate, with one another, regionally. And for those who don't think that secession ever works, I'll remind you of what we celebrate on July 4th. So, Balkanization COULD happen relatively bloodlessly. That's not the way that I would bet, but it could happen. Why not?

But while all of the interest in prepping and survivalism is at heart a preparation for a racial war, very few people come out

and say so. Take a poll of your friends, asking them what they think would happen if, for example, the electric grid failed. What if the lights went out, and didn’t come back on? How long would it be before looting started? How long before the violence escalated into open conflict? People aren’t as afraid of hurricanes and tornadoes, as they are of the black tsunami. Especially if they live near the ‘coasts’ likely to be affected.

## Chapter Two

*Crunching The Numbers*




"Balkanization is the regional, political, cultural, religious, and racial separation of one ethnic group from another." - Seamus O'Dubhlainn

Stick with me, now, this is where it gets dry for a minute, but these demographic statistics really matter, as you'll soon understand. Let's look at Yankee land, first, with Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania:

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, between 2000 and 2010, the black population in Connecticut increased from

295,571 to 335,119. During the same decade, the Hispanic population in Connecticut increased from 320,323 to 479,087. And, during the same ten years, the White population in Connecticut decreased from 2,638,845 to 2,546,262.

By percentage, from 2000 to 2010 the black population of Connecticut increased by 13.3%, while the Hispanic population of Connecticut increased by 49.5 percent. The White population of Connecticut decreased by 3.50%.

Asians went from 81,564 to 134,091, an increase of 64.3 % percent.

The black population in Maine increased from 6,440 to 15,154. During the same decade, the Hispanic population in Maine increased from 9,360 to 16,935. The White population in Maine increased from 1,230,297 to 1,254,297.

From 2000 to 2010 the black population of Maine increased by 135.3% percent, while the Hispanic population of Maine increased by 80.9% percent. The White population of Maine increased by 1.95% percent.

Asians went from 9,014 to 13,442, and increased 49.1% percent.

The black population in Massachusetts increased from 318,329 to 391,693. The Hispanic population in Massachusetts increased from 428,729 to 627,654. The White population in Massachusetts decreased from 5,198,359 to 4,984,800.

In other words, the black population of Massachusetts increased by 23.0% percent, while the Hispanic population of Massachusetts increased by 46.3% percent. The White population of Massachusetts decreased by 4.10% percent.

Asians went from 236,786 to 347,495 and increased 46.7% percent.

We could continue on, state by state, but you get the idea of how the numbers work. We first find the data telling us the raw numbers of increase or decrease by different racial groups. Then we can determine through a simple formula what percentage of increase or decrease that represents. Let's cut to the chase and highlight the most anomalous results from the 2010 Census data.

Pay attention to what is happening in the Southeast. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, between 2000 and 2010, the black population in Georgia increased from 2,331,465 to 2,910,800. During the same decade, the White population in Georgia increased only from 5,128,661 to 5,413,920.

By the percentages, that means that from 2000 to 2010 the black population of Georgia increased by 24.8%, while the White population of Georgia increased by 5.5 percent. That's a net gain by blacks of nearly 20%. Twenty percent! If you think that's something, the black population in Florida increased from 2,264,268 to 2,851,100. During the same decade, the White population in Florida increased only from 10,458,509 to 10,884,722. So, from 2000 to 2010 the black population of Florida increased by 25.9%, while the White population of

Florida increased by 4.0 percent. That's a net gain by blacks of 22%, the highest gains by blacks in any state relative to Hispanics and Asians and Whites combined, nationwide. That wasn't the result of higher birth rates, as much as it was blacks moving there, who in 2000 had resided in other states.

Alabama and Mississippi both experienced net black gains of 6%, by comparison, showing that the core of the black internal remigration has been to Georgia and Florida. They will form the heartland of New Africa. If I were a betting man, I'd wager that Atlanta will be the capitol.

Now, let's look at the growth of the Latrino population in the border states and the Southwestern U.S.. It's been even greater than the explosion of the black population in the Southeast. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, between 2000 and 2010, the Hispanic population in Texas increased from 6,669,666 to 9,460,921. During the same decade, the White population in Texas increased from 10,933,313 to 11,397,345.

In other words, the Hispanic population of Texas increased by 41.8% percent, while the White population of Texas increased by 4.2% percent. That's a net Hispanic gain of 37%, NOT counting illegal immigrants. Imagine what the percentage of growth would be, if the Census counted illegal immigrants? The black and Asian populations held virtually steady.

Moving west, the Hispanic population in New Mexico increased from 765,386 to 953,403. The White population in New Mexico increased from 813,495 to 833,810. From 2000 to 2010 the Hispanic population of New Mexico increased by

24.5% percent, while the White population of New Mexico increased by 2.4% percent. That's a net Hispanic gain of 22%, not counting illegal immigrants.

On August 14th, 2014, The New York Times website published an online article featuring a map of the United States showing where immigrants to those states came from. Focusing on the period from 1900 to 2012, it doesn't accurately show the massive changes that have occurred just since 1965 when the Hart-Cellar immigration act opened up the borders. It does, however, give a much broader, big picture overview of general trends. Twenty-eight percent of the population of California, for example, was foreign born over the last century. Over the last generation, though, the shift has been even more stark.

The Hispanic population in Arizona grew almost as much as that of Texas, from 1,295,617 to 1,895,149, from 2000 to 2010. During the same decade, the White population in Arizona increased from 3,274,258 to 3,695,647. That's an increase by 46.2%, while the White population of Arizona increased by 12.8 percent, a net Hispanic gain of 34%, not counting illegal immigrants. The Tucson Unified School District has already begun running elementary and secondary education courses in "race studies", at taxpayer expense, which teach youngsters to hate Whites, and love "La Raza", their own race, which they believe have a right to the SouthWestern United States as their own independent nation.

And of course, even taking into consideration the growth in the Asian population in California, Latrinos increased there from 10,966,556 to 14,013,719. The White population in California decreased from 15,816,790 to 14,956,253. So the Hispanic population of California increased by 27.7% percent, while the White population of California decreased by 5.4% percent. A net Hispanic gain of 22%, not counting illegal immigrants. Most of the 5% loss in the White population from California turned up in other states, as we'll see here in a couple of pages.

On some of the government demographic paperwork, for example in Census forms, it only gives one the option of choosing the Mexican subcategory in the White category. Think that could factor in on the comparative percentages, as well? Of course it does. Meaning, the relative growth of the Latrino population in the border states is probably even greater than these numbers indicate, due to the possibility of Rachel Dolezal style self-identification. Also, some Hispanics identify under the 'American Indian or Alaskan Native' category, or even under Asian / Pacific Islander …which they actually ARE, of course, but still, it begs credulity, and muddies the waters considerably. And remember, these Census figures cannot account for the millions and millions of illegals in all states.

By now, dear reader, I'm sure that you're ready for some good news, right? Well, rest assured, there is some good news. It consists of the turnaround of the generalized national trend towards a declining White percentage of the population in the

Western and Midwestern states. Because, all of those White folks missing from the Southeast and Southwest are going somewhere. Where, exactly? Largely, North. To the upper South, Midwest, and West. To the Heartland of America, the red states, to what the blue states on the diverse coasts and corners call 'Flyover Country'. To New America.

Largely because of the demographic outlier of Chicago, the Black population of Illinois decreased by 1.25% percent, while the Hispanic population of Illinois increased by 32.4% percent., and the White population of Illinois decreased by 3.04% percent. The winner there is the Hispanics.

In Indiana, it was almost a dead heat, as the black population increased by 15.1%, while the Hispanic population of Indiana increased by 15.1% percent as well, and the White population increased by 1.28 percent.

The other agricultural states experienced a flood not only of Hispanic farm workers and their families, but poultry and pork processing plant employees, too. The Black population of Iowa increased by 43 percent, while the Hispanic population grew by an astounding 83.7% and the White population of Iowa decreased by 0.34 percent. Similar results exist in Kansas and Nebraska.

To illustrate the black migration out of Detroit back to the southeast, from 2000 to 2010 the black population of Michigan actually DECREASED by 1.30% percent, while the Hispanic population of Michigan increased by 34.7%, and the White population of Michigan decreased by 3.03 percent. Asians had

a large increase in Michigan, 175,311 to 236,490, which amounts to 34.8%.

So, even though the anticipated actual increase in the White percentage of the population between the Appalachians and the Rockies hasn't resulted in a statistical demographic shift as yet, the relatively lesser White displacement in future New American states reflect a comparative difference in the rate of internal migration, as well as its nature. This is analogous to several cars lined up in a race. One which decides to turn around and head in the other direction first has to downshift, then brake, then make a U-turn, rather than simply stopping on a dime and making a 180.

Now, the first figure I went for was the most increase in White population, period, as a starter, close to or at 10% percent or higher. Check out the results:

Utah increased its White population by 16.6% percent from 2000 to 2010.

Arizona  increased by 12.8% percent.

Idaho increased by 15.5% percent.

Nevada increased by 12.2% percent.

South Carolina increased by 11.7% percent.

Wyoming increased by 10.2% percent.

North Carolina increased by 10.2% percent.

Colorado increased by 9.92% percent.

Obviously, while in most Western and Midwestern states the White percentage of the population either almost held steady with the increasing nonWhite population, or the increasing nonWhite population was in states with such a low population, as in the Dakotas, that the shift represented a relatively few actual number of persons, the states with actual INCREASES in the White percentage of the population from 2000 to 2010 are North Carolina, South Carolina, Colorado, Wyoming, Alaska, and Hawaii. That's not exactly the numbers or geographic distribution I'd anticipated, but it is the data I was looking for.

**Here is why:** Overall, the states with the greatest increase in their relative percentage of White population are Wyoming, Montana, and Idaho. States where the White population has begun a similar turnaround include Utah, and North and South Dakota (especially North, due to the shale fracking boom). That IS exactly what my Balkanization theory anticipated.

Therefore, the internal migration of Whites from the border states which are being overran by Hispanics are headed for Montana, Wyoming, Idaho, and the Dakotas. Whites who are fleeing the Southeast are going a state or two north, to the Carolinas, Tennessee, and Arkansas. But of course even within any particular state, the majority of internal remigration never crosses state lines. Most Whites simply move out of the city, and into more White areas. But the value and extent of the voluntarily racial segregation is still just as valid, even if no state lines are crossed.

In his article 'Balkanization Beckons', Pat Buchanan stated *that "If a country is a land of defined and defended borders, within which resides a people of a common ancestry, history, language, faith, culture and traditions, in what sense are we Americans one nation and one people today?"* He further quoted Founding Father John Jay, who stated in The Federalist Papers No. 2,

*"Providence has been pleased to give this one connected country to one united people — a people descended from the same ancestors, speaking the same language, professing the same religion, attached to the same principles of government, very similar in their manners and customs …".*

Does this still describe America? If not, are we, collectively, all still America? Buchanan agrees that the United States of America is headed towards a breakup along racial lines, for many of the same reasons we've already outlined.

In addition to simply counting the demographics, we also need to watch events unfolding in the overall culture, economy, society, and the armed forces. In "Civil War Two: The Coming Breakup of America", the Balkan example is cited, again and again. The author, Thomas Chittum, fought as a mercenary in the civil war in Yugoslavia. Drawing from his experiences there, and his study of History, he observed:

*"Did anyone write a book predicting our first civil war? I did some research on that subject and I was unable to find a single book warning Americans that they were fast approaching an abyss. That's not to say that people were unaware of the*

*approaching war. In fact, many people were perfectly aware that a civil war was inevitable. My guess is that not a single person wrote a book on the coming war because they thought it would be a sort of picnic with a little gunfire mixed in, and in any case it would be over in a few months. As history records the war ground the entire southern half of America into a smoking wasteland and killed or crippled almost an entire generation of American men."*

Indeed, over 620,000 died and the nation and its government were changed forever. But, people were in denial. Noone wanted to admit that it was coming, even after the war became an inevitability. Chittum believes that, with an economic depression and grid collapse accompanying a racial civil war, as many as half of the current U.S. population might starve to death during the coming conflict. That may be optimistic. What would you do to feed your family if there were no more groceries on the store shelves, or gas at the service station? What about six months afterwards? With three hundred million others, all thinking the same thing? It's something to consider. It's no wonder that so many people are in denial about this coming civil war, too.

Over twenty years ago, the term 'Balkanize' was first used in examining the long-term impact of immigration to America by Dr. Brent Nelson, in his book 'America Balkanized: Immigration's Challenge to Government'. Having spent a lot of time discussing the issue with that author, both before and after he invited me to speak to a patriotic meeting he held subsequent to the work's publication, I can attest to his

reputable and scholarly approach to the subject matter. Dr. Nelson's extremely well researched book, though now in need of an update, helped to break the ground of discussing how immigration would destroy our nation, if left unchecked. He was the first to amass the demographic statistics to back up his observations, which were crucial as empirical evidence at the time. Dr. Nelson set the precedent which so many have followed, from Pat Buchanan and Thomas Chittum, to little ole me. So, he deserves a fair portion of credit, and should not be overlooked.

Now, here's a thought that we can roll around in our minds, a point that Thomas Chittum missed when he wrote "Civil War Two". That's surprising, considering his otherwise obsessive attention to the international elites and the bankers and their Machiavellian machinations. The original, first use of the term 'Balkanization' was as a description of the 19th century Imperial Russian policy of purposefully dividing up the peoples of the Balkan peninsula at the edge of their sphere of influence. The Czars quite intentionally set the contentious parties against one another, to keep their frontiers fragmented and disunited. Divide and conquer, literally. So, Balkanization wasn't merely an occurrence, it was a program and policy. It was the Russian management style.

Chittum almost arrives at the same conclusion when discussing our own situation. He alludes to the fact that the global power elites, whom he never identifies by name or ethnicity, might foment Balkanization in order to institute martial law, disarmament of civilians, and the institution of an

even more tyrannical government following a racial civil war. What those hoping to profit from chaos may be naïve about, however, is their ability to control the forces they unleash. Their gated communities and armed guard won't protect them when the black and brown hordes sweep through. Once they let the genie out of the bottle, it won't be easy to put back in.

Of course, over and over again their hubris, their driving ego, has caused them to overstep their abilities to control the situation, as happened in Germany in the 1930's. They are not omnipotent or omniscient. They aren't even united, and they don't always win. With the nature of modern warfare and global communications, it's a smaller world. This time, if they encourage war and destruction for profit and power, someone just might finish the job.

For those who would like to see where the nearest concentrations of nonWhites are to you, here is a racial dot map based on the 2010 Census:

http://demographics.coopercenter.org/DotMap/

It's okay, go ahead and go look. I'll wait. While you're there, you need to map out your withdrawal routes, and secondaries, in case that route is blocked by other refugees. And tertiaries, in case the secondaries have been overrun. How far are you from New America?

Even the mainstream media has finally noticed the internal remigrations taking place in the United States. The cover story for the March 14, 2014 issue of the Christian Science Monitor

was an article entitled 'Why African-Americans Are Moving Back To The South', and noted that "By 2010, about 57 percent of the nation's African-Americans were living in the South – a higher percentage than at any time in 50 years." Furthermore, 1.5 million blacks have moved from Chicago, Detroit, and New York to the South in the last two decades alone.

In the February 2nd, 2015 issue of U.S.A. Today, the headline reading "After nearly 100 years, the Great Migration begins reversal" boasted the subtitle "College grads and retirees are leading the return of blacks to the South". Blaring subchapters read 'A major redistribution of a people' and 'A quiet return could reshape region', peppered with nightmarish statistics such as " For African Americans moving from the Northeast, 82% moved to the South."

Not to be outdone, NBC news jumped on the bandwagon in March of 2015 with a story touting "Why Some African-Americans Are Moving Down South". Their conclusion was, basically, to get away from other African-Americans, or at least to get away from the higher taxes and higher crime and lower education and higher poverty that come with African-Americans. Like the old saying says, though, you can run all of your life, and never get away from yourself. So, since they are the problem, they bring the problem with them. According to NBC, which referenced the same census data I used, the results were clear. The complexion of the South was changing.

'In a reversal, more blacks moving back to the South', observed the Washington Times in a February 16th, 2011

Culture column piece, which fawned that "The nation's black population grew by roughly 1.7 million over the past decade. About 75 percent of that growth occurred in the South — primarily metropolitan areas such as Atlanta, Dallas, Houston, Miami and Charlotte, N.C.. That's up from 65 percent in the 1990s, according to the latest census estimates. The gains came primarily at the expense of Northern metropolitan areas such as New York and Chicago, which posted their first declines in black population since at least 1980. Illinois saw its first decline in the black population in the state's history, with the number of blacks decreasing by 1.3 percent since 2000, according to official 2010 census figures released Tuesday."

The New York Times, CBS News, the Pittsburgh Post Gazette, and dozens of other blogs and websites echoed the same sentiments, all fueled by the census numbers. Mr. Chittum's last update to Civil War Two was prior to the release of this data heralding the Great Reverse Migration, as the pundits are calling it. Had he addressed it, I might not have felt so compelled to. But what might we write after the 2020 statistics are counted? That, still, is largely up to you.

Gregory Kay makes a good case that Whites in the South may be able to stand and fight. The statistics in and of themselves, he states, don't present the entire story, as they don't measure the disorganization of blacks or the military potential of Whites, even outnumbered, once enraged. In the interests of fair play, he has a point that the White settlers who first arrived on this continent found themselves greatly outnumbered by the primitive Indian savages here, and they

remained in the minority for four generations in the areas where the two races were in contact. During that time, their superior intellect, creativity, ingenuity, and industry prevailed, and often they dredged up the will to deal with their enemies in such a forthright manner that the term ‘genocide’ might be an appropriate assessment of the results. If pushed, they might do so again. Of any single group of Whites in North America, I would give Southerners credit for having the most warrior spirit. So, if any people could do it, it might be them. We shall see. As Gregory said when we were discussing that possibility for this book, to quote Carl Von Clausewitz's ‘On War’, he outlined one of the most basic principles: “the side willing to go the farthest is the one that usually wins.” That’s a maxim that I’ve always lived by in politics, as well, so if Southern Whites are able to bring forth a truly Clausewitzian spirit, they may triumph without having to make a strategic withdrawal to regroup, first. Let’s give a rebel yell, if they do! Heck, we might even pitch in and help, if they hurry.

## Chapter Three

*What This Hath Wrought*




"To me, balkanization means the splitting of a larger multicultural entity into smaller more culturally or ethnically homogeneous entities. So many people, in America today, seem to feel that their needs are not being met by the government. Not surprising, since the government can't be all things to everyone." -A concerned citizen

Next, let's look at some of the ways that the re-migration of the different races within our borders has changed the cultural and political status of those areas they move into. Sometimes,

of course, liberal Whites who flee diversity make the White areas they flee towards worse, when they are guilt-ridden and desperate to prove that they aren't really racist. That means that, for example, Harrison, Arkansas, a town of 12,000, which is over 90% White, has a 'Task Force On Race Relations', and elected city officials invite the NAACP in from the other (black) end of the state to hold parades and bury caskets labeled 'racism'. The Mayor tried to work with a reality tv film production crew to import enough blacks to bring the numbers of them up to 10%, in order to force diversity on the town. They hold Black History Month celebrations in the Public Library which no black people attend. It's ridiculous. But they do backflips to prove that they moved to the Ozarks from Houston or Chicago or Memphis for the fresh mountain air or the 'better schools' or the 'lower crime'. Their White guilt won't let them tell the truth. Worse, it compels them to want to make the places they came to, just like the places they come from. That's why all nine members of the Seattle City Council, and the Mayor there, support tighter gun control measures for the city, too. They fled north from California, but want to establish a liberal utopia in the Pacific Northwest. Portland, where I've had the opportunity to speak a couple of times, is a haven for homosexuals. Remember when we talked about why the Northwest Imperative was a non-starter? The overwhelming dominance of very liberal Whites there is the primary reason why…them, and the Chinese army.

White refugees are not always so destructive, though. The whole northern panhandle of Idaho has gone from a

Democratic stronghold to completely 'red' (i.e., conservative) over the last decade. This is due almost entirely to conservative Whites fleeing California landing there and getting involved in local and statewide politics. When I last visited Coeur D'Alene, before Pastor Butler died, it was much more liberal than it is today, for example. That's a positive development for Whites. So, some White refugees are better than others. The worst ones will just have to be sidelined and compelled to silence when the Balkanization process begins, and Whites regain control over our political destiny. Just as with northern Idaho, which went from being a solidly Democratic area to a staunchly Republican one, throughout Wyoming, Montana, and the Dakotas the arrival of tens of thousands of radicalized, racialized White conservatives have transformed the lean of the state legislatures from a western libertarian approach to positions more paleo-conservative. This is nowhere more true than in the Kalispell area, where its Pioneer Little Europe is a growing confederation of affiliated Nationalists. So White grows the far West, that in many areas the only minorities are a remnant of former Indian tribes on their vast reservations.

A little further east, a unique situation is developing in the Midwestern states, wherein the liberal, majority nonWhite cities are surrounded by rings of increasingly recalcitrant, and increasingly conservative, White suburbs and 'bedroom communities'. The former are dependent on the latter, who play the role of absentee landlords. White flight took them out of the urban areas which they still keep nominally running.

Should their services be rescinded, however, the infrastructures of the cities would quickly fail. This is especially true of Chicago, Detroit, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, St. Louis, and Kansas City, but also of Topeka, Springfield, and Des Moines. White flight, whether of ten miles or a thousand, changes the productivity and standard of living of both the place it flees from, for the worse, as well as the place it flees to, for the better. It's more than just a brain drain, it's a spiritual vacuum.

Admittedly, Whites moving out of cities like Detroit and Chicago does hurt the economic infrastructure of those towns, but frankly, who cares? Illegal immigration hurts all Americans, but disproportionately those Whites who make up the majority of the taxpaying citizens. According to a report from the Center for Immigration Studies, for every two immigrants admitted to the United States between 2000 and 2014 only one job was added – a 2:1 ratio - See more at: http://www.teaparty.org/brooks-gutierrez-trying-racially-segregate-americans-108257/#sthash.12jsUaE8.dpuf. You will note that the article cited continually uses our Word For The Day, 'Balkanization'. "Beyond illegal immigration, each year the U.S. adds 1 million new permanent immigrants on green cards, joined by nearly 1 million foreign workers, dependents and refugees, and about half a million new foreigners sought by university admissions boards. As a result of these high immigration quotas, one-fourth of the United States population are now either immigrants or have immigrant parents." Yes, more and more people in the mainstream are

learning the word, and beginning to use it, and more importantly, are spreading the idea…which increases the likelihood that Balkanization will become a self-fulfilling prophecy. Talking about the coming breakup of America makes it more likely, and gives the idea life of its own.

Even in middle America, immigrants account for three-fifths of Nashville's new population growth over the last decade, primarily because of purposeful Federal government programs to relocate nonWhite refugees there, just as the German government responded to Nationalists from PEGIDA protesting Muslim immigration by rerouting thousands of African 'refugees' to Dresden.

Remember the Muslim Kuwaiti who shot the four unarmed Marines dead in Chatanooga? Some may recall that in the beginning, the first Iraq war was justified because of Saddam Hussein's attempted reconquest of the breakaway kingdom of Kuwait. (ahem, Balkanization) Russia, as stated previously, Balkanized a quarter century ago. They just got the Crimean back from the Ukraine. That, in and of itself, was an example of an ethnic conflict which spread into a war between nations, as Russia stepped in to aid the Russian ethnic population in that historically ethnic Russian peninsula. We watched it all unfold on t.v.. This isn't ancient history. It was literally last year. The Russians still control some other non-Russian political regions, like Kaliningrad, Kurils, and Sakhalin. They are, to a lesser degree than the Crimea, potential flashpoints for conflict, just as all multi-ethnic areas are, here or there. Russia could clash with Poland or even Germany over Kaliningrad, or

with Japan over Sakhalin Island. But think for a minute of how little differences there are, ethnically and culturally, between the Russians and Ukrainians, compared to the White Protestant redneck good-old boys in Nashville, the home of country music, and the East Africans, Arabs, and Southeast Asians who have flooded into the city in such numbers that it has become known as 'Little Kurdistan'. The diversity, and therefore the potential for conflict, is much greater there than between the Slavic cousins and neighbors. And the Federal government is promoting it. Pushing it. Making it inevitable. They are sowing the wind, and will reap a whirlwind.

When nonWhite refugees or immigrants move (or are moved) into an area, by contrast, that area goes blue, meaning Democratic, the party of the minority coalition. That coalition of nonWhite groups, combined with homosexuals and self-loathing, anti-White White liberals (predominantly women) are now the electoral majority in America. Let me repeat that. We all know that demographic projections indicate that in one generation Whites will be a numerical minority in the United States. We already are a cultural minority. We already are a political minority. We already are a minority numerically among those under the age of fifteen…who will be eligible to vote in just three years. Do the math. Until the Balkanization happens, the trend is not going to slow down. With every passing year, Whites will become a smaller and smaller percentage of the U.S. population. Therefore, the longer it takes to happen, the fewer Whites will be left, and the smaller amount of territory we will retain for our New America. That's

why I propose that multiracial democracy can't fly, that what cannot fly, should fall, and what is falling, we should still push, and say, 'fall faster'. Like Thomas Paine said, if war must come, let it come in our time, so that our children may live in peace. And it must. So, bring it. Hasten The Day.

Now, what did I just say, above? The changing demographic situation in the U.S. means that the coalition of nonWhite minorities, combined with nominally White anti-White liberals, will always, from this point forward, outvote White interests. As liberals have correctly noted, and celebrated, we have passed the tipping point where any White Nationalist political party can win back control of the United States of America. What the anti-Whites have accurately marked, some conservatives seem to have willfully ignored. Why? Because once they admit that Whites, even euphemistically referred to as 'conservatives', or et cetera, can never regain control of the country through the ballot box, and facing the looming precipice of the huge baby boomer generation of Whites (i.e, the Tea Party) beginning to die off, speeding up the browning of the electorate even more, what are their options? Surrender? Expatriation? Or, admitting that the electoral process no longer offers any solution to America's, meaning White people's, problems, or an avenue to petition for a redress of our grievances? Secession or revolution? That's too scary a concept for them to think of, or consider, yet. Yet.

I try to remind myself that we shouldn't be angry at Whites who are unwilling to identify the assault on the Confederate flag as a racial struggle. Yes, they are gutless cowards. But so

were the vast majority of Americans who, as late as 1770, vehemently opposed any revolution against their King. Many of those Tory loyalists who didn't move North and become the more docile, authoritarian-loving cultural progenitors of Canada eventually flipped the script and joined the winning team, being followers, as most people are, and only wanting to be in the consensus and hold the majority viewpoint, as women of both genders invariably do. Likewise, many of those who now wave the star-spangled banner, may someday come to realize that our race is our nation…or they might just shut up and get out of our way. That would be good enough, as even in the first American revolution, a majority never supported the revolution, until it was a fait accompli.

Even as the power brokers in Washington and Tel Aviv work on a plan to divide Syria and Iraq up along ethnic, tribal, and religious lines into a few different countries (Kurdish, Sunni, and Shiite), they are just getting comfortable referring to that plan as, you guessed it, Balkanization. If it's a good idea for the Kurds, Shiites, Sunnis, and everybody else in Syria and Iraq, it's a good idea for working out the differences between Los Angeles and Boise, too. As social cohesion weakens, the fabric of society is strained and group polarization increases. The internet contributes to the Balkanization and radicalization process because people seek out forums, communities, views, and social media sources which agree with their own worldviews, and reinforce and strengthen their preconceived notions. Then, they are further radicalized and drawn into more extreme versions of their own views. Why? Because

people like to hear views which support their own, but just a half step or more radically expressed, as a thrill, a shock, and an inspiration. That is how people have come to define leadership: someone who says what they themselves are thinking a bit more forthrightly than they would be willing to express 'out loud'. That validates their own ever-radicalizing views as being, heck, downright moderate, by comparison. Cautiously so. This is a reinforcement of their desire to be safely in the mainstream and majority worldview, because they aren't expressing the most radical version of their weltanschaung. Folks like I am. Folks like you are. If you've read my book 'The Ice Path', then you know that's how you become a leader. It's not demagoguery, if it's for real. Feel it, don't fake it. Because in the end, what you pretend to be, is exactly what you will become. That, in effect, will result in your own self-radicalization.

There are many areas of the world where Balkanization is taking place right now, which you've never heard of. If you lived there, the process would be your top priority, though, guaranteed. There's the TransCarpathian region of western Ukraine, where Russians, Hungarians, and Ruthenians contend. As in Azerbaijan, Armenia, Abkhazia, Chechnya, South Ossetia, and Moldova, the discontent minority populations make up a much smaller percentage than blacks or Hispanics do in the U.S., and have much lesser cultural differences. Still, they're fighting for their independence, already.

Colorado, Maryland, and California face partition challenges from those wishing to form new states from their parts,

through democratic referendums. Likewise, Catalonia and Scotland, again, may soon vote for independence from Spain and the United Kingdom, respectively. Texas, Barotseland in Zambia, and the northern two-thirds of Mali called Azawad are a bit less polite about it. The governor of Texas called out the Texas National Guard to monitor U.S. military operations within his state during the 'Jade Helm' exercise, due to the pressure put on him by anti-Federal Texan citizens, many of whom want their state to become a Republic, once again. Puntland and Jubaland in Somalia are just shooting their way free. So, here is my personal list of areas to watch for Balkanization, while we are hurdling headlong in that direction, ourselves.

Cyrenaica wants to break away from eastern Libya and control most of the oil in the North African country, post-Khaddafi. Their capitol, which you may have heard of, would be a little place called Benghazi. East Turkistan, that area of China which they call Xinjiang, seeks independence as a Muslim state, one which was the setting for much of the action in 'Wasting The Dawn: Hasten The Day III'. A near majority of ethnic Russians in the eastern Ukraine want to realign with Moscow. Domestically, the border counties of Northern California and Southern Oregon want to establish a new libertarian-minded state of 'Jefferson', which joined all fifty states in petitioning the Federal government for secession following Obama's reelection. The islands of French Polynesia are trying to shake off colonial rule from Paris. Transnational Muslims want to form a TransCaucasus Emirate out of the

fragmented areas between the Caspian and Black Seas. Kurdistan WILL emerge out of parts of one or more of Iraq, Syria, Iran, Turkey, and Syria where the thirty million stateless Kurds live. 'Al Sham' would inherit the remainder of Iraq and Syria as a Muslim caliphate. Catalonia, the aforementioned region of southern Spain bordering France described as sovereign in 'Waiting For The Sun: Hasten The Day II', may well vote themselves free. As may Scotland. With each of these struggles or referendums, the use of the term 'Balkanization' will spread, as will the idea. White Americans will be moving out of the Southeastern states and the Southwestern states to the West and Midwest. Blacks will continue to move to the South. Hispanics will continue to flood into the border regions, and spread outwards from there. And the alienation of the Heartland of America from the coasts will continue, our differences widening. Widening, stretching, then finally ripping, cracking, and tearing apart, like the seams of a rotten patchwork quilt. Noone will be holding a referendum on it, though. The borders of the new nations arising phoenix-like from the ashes of the United States will be decided by the cartridge box, not the ballot box.

An interesting question to ponder, from an immigration and sociological perspective, is this: are those who are the first to immigrate out of an area, for whatever reason, the most courageous and adventuresome, or the most unsuccessful and desperate?

## Chapter Four

*A Death By Inches*




"Balkanization is the recognition of the need for tribe and identity separate from the herd." –Brett Stevens, Amerika.org.

I believe that people - most especially Whites - are realizing that division is happening right before their eyes in the United States. We have to realize that the United States has always been on the edge of Balkanization, from its very beginning. Just the name of our country, the 'United States of America', conjures up all of the different states and territories standing as one republic in various degrees of stability. India, similarly,

has only existed as one nation for seventy years, cobbled together by the British, and now left swaying in the wind as one of the world’s most populous and nuclear armed coalition of mutually hostile minorities…just like us. But unlike the clear distinctions there between Hindus. Muslims, and Sikhs, here, like black writer Thomas Sowell recently penned, once a racial war starts, it will be hard to stop.

Back to the recent Yugoslavian example, ethnic conflict in the United States may well begin peacefully, and the Federal military itself Balkanize, as the Yugoslavian Army did. In Judith Armatta’s ‘The Twilight of Impunity, the War Crimes Trials of Slobodan Milosevic’, the author observed that “The wars mostly resulted in peace accords, involving full international recognition of new states, but with massive economic damage to the region. Initially the Yugoslav People's Army (JNA) sought to preserve the unity of the whole of Yugoslavia by crushing the secessionist governments; however the JNA increasingly came under the influence of the Serbian government of Slobodan Milošević that evoked Serbian nationalist rhetoric and was willing to support the Yugoslav state insofar as using it to preserve the unity of Serbs in one state; as a result the JNA began to lose Slovenes, Croats, Kosovar Albanians, Bosniaks, and ethnic Macedonians, and effectively became a Serb army.” It’s not hard to imagine the U.S. military, once it locked down many military units in their bases and barracks until their loyalty could be verified and they could be sorted out ethnically and regionally, coming out openly for one side or the other. The attempt to make sure that only White units were

used to pacify black and Hispanic regions, or only black units to pacify White or Hispanic areas, et cetera, could end up fanning the flames of ethnic conflict into anti-government rebellion…until those separated out units come to cross purposes, and began to fight one another. Remember that the Chattanooga and Little Rock and Fort Hood shooters were successful because the government doesn't even trust military servicemen to be armed on their own bases. The more diverse a military unit is, the less trustworthy it will be when Civil War Two begins, as is true of the rest of our society.

Noone knows whether the pattern for balkanization described by myself or Thomas Chittum will be followed precisely, or how it might play out thereafter, but we can guess. We can also learn from history.

Few of us may be old enough to remember the Cuban boatlift, when Castro emptied out his prisons and sent the criminals to Florida. Think of the movie 'Scarface'. There you go. Florida has a million Tony Montanas. Now we have reopened diplomatic relations with the impoverished Communist nation, and have an official embassy there. They also have one here. So, the Confederate flag is not welcome in the U.S., but the Communist Cuban flag is. Wonderful. But think about the next inevitable step…this will lead to a relaxation of the patrols off the Keys, and Coast Guard security. More drugs will come in. Certainly, more Cuban immigration into Florida, both legally and illegally, will happen, now. As far as Civil War Two and the Balkanization process goes, what this means is that the White enclave in the

panhandle and the Black majority in most of the cities of Florida might find themselves in a three sided conflict, and outgunned. Florida might go to Cuba, instead of to New Africa. While the rest of us wait and see, I'm advising my White family members in the sunshine state to immediately start home-schooling their children, as I advise all parents in racially diverse areas, to protect them both physically and psychologically while you are selling your property and moving to New America. Remember what happens to the frog who waits too long to jump, because he feels that the water isn't really all that hot. Hop.

Balkanization is not always solely a racial issue. I know, I l know, that's a strange thing for a biological determinist to write, right? But it's true. There are more subtle nuances dividing our nation, slowly but surely. For example, religion. In Minnesota and Michigan, the black Muslim immigrants from places like Somalia hate the native blacks, and the feeling is reciprocated. They fight and kill each other, all the time, for territory, businesses, and neighborhoods. Non-black Muslims of Arab, Iranian, or other mixed and Middle Eastern ancestry fight with local blacks in areas where they have enough numbers to do more than run motel chains and convenience stores. They'd fight the Whites, too, if the Whites bothered to put up a fight. Normally, most of them don't. That would be racist. So both Whites and African-Americans, as well as Asians and Hispanics, are being displaced in places like Dearborn and St. Paul, by Muslims. Frankly, they all see the Muslims as being ruthless and crazy and not to be messed with, and are afraid of

them. There are huge swaths of Oslo and Paris and other European cities where non-Muslims simply cannot go, now. Some U.S. communities are not far behind, and already exercise Shariah law. Many Muslims in America are Shiites, who believe that their rulers have to be directly descended from the child-rapist Muhammed. Others are Sunni, and believe their absolute dictators can be elected, sort of. Those two groups have been killing each other for centuries, which is why the Iranians used to fight the Iraqis, and now fight ISIS. Taking into account the home-grown and internet radicalized Muslims, as well as those blacks converted to the faith in prison, and then the Nation of Islam and similar Muslim black groups, and they are a force with which to be reckoned. They will be reckoned with, too, by someone, somewhere, but not by the current U.S. government, which is too afraid of hurting the sensitive feelings of Muslims to even call the terrorists 'terrorists', or to admit that they are motivated by radical Islam. Only after it falls, can they be dealt with in the only manner which they truly understand, and which they will not find ambiguous. In that regard, whether they are White converts to Islam, or polka dotted and zebra striped, lump them together with the other enemies of America's founding people and principles.

In addition to the Muslim growth in the upper Midwest and elsewhere, Jews also hold onto their own racial and religious neighborhoods in sections of New York, New Jersey, Boston, Los Angeles, and several other cities. I guess being the only people on God's green Earth allowed to have their very own

country just isn't enough. Many of these are Orthodox communities with their own versions of Shariah law, but others are secular and simply ethnically oriented. Imagine if White people tried that? The Obama administration's Housing authority passed down an order in July of 2015 stating that a nonWhite didn't have to prove that they were personally discriminated against by a homeowner or landlord in a housing purchase or rental, to win a lawsuit for racial discrimination. All they have to show now is that the minority percentage of a particular neighborhood is less than that of another nearby neighborhood, and all city and town governments have to submit plans to the Federal government outlining how they are going to diversify their communities. Don't hold your breath waiting for black or Jewish or Hispanic neighborhoods to submit diversification plans, though. For one thing, not many Whites want to move there! For another, they have a special protected status. Like people who keep venomous reptiles as pets, the liberal politicians had better be very careful how they handle them, though. It could come back to bite them in the assumption that voting will always matter.

Hispanics are overwhelmingly Catholic, though some Evangelical churches have fallen all over themselves trying to fill their pews with the new brown parishioners. Spanish language tv religious broadcasts and dual language church services, help with obtaining documentation and 'social services' regardless of their legal status, and even pictures depicting Jesus as a Mestizo, all await the new invaders. Whatever it takes to put some pesos into the offering plates.

Despite some downhome inroads, in general most Latrinos remain Catholic, especially in communities where their populations are dense enough to have their own churches, and priests, and hold traditional mass. Instead of turning the Hispanics into Protestants, the Protestants, especially in the south, have become more pro-diversity and welcoming of legal and illegal immigrants…or they have drifted away from the church, if their traditional racial beliefs remained strong enough to sustain them. Meanwhile the old Italian and Irish centers of American Catholicism have surrendered their own formerly tightly held ethnic loyalties in exchange for something more left-wing than liberation theology, a multiracial apologist cult of trendy Catholicism which is absolutely 'universal'. Those who disagree, like Mel Gibson and other conservatives, form their own associations with the Creator on their own terms. Most of organized Catholicism, today, now supports multiracialism and diversity, too.

A few White Nationalists have been attracted to Orthodox Christianity, a faith foreign in more ways than one to many Americans outside of a dozen widely-spread Eastern European ethnic communities, primarily on the East Coast. That is because, up until recently in Eastern Europe, there were few nonWhites except Jews for the Orthodox church to concern itself with, and its record with them was formidable. Simply because, the issue never came up. Now, of course, Eastern Orthodoxy is becoming more inclusive and welcoming to any featherless biped which accepts its faith and bothers to show up. If you don't believe it, just ask them.

Even more than race, perhaps, even within races, certainly, religion has always been a great divider. Jesus himself said to not believe that he had come to unite the earth, but to divide it, and that he had not come to bring peace, but a sword. That's some real talk, there.

I was raised as a Southern Baptist. Within the Southern Baptist Convention, widely regarded as the most conservative of the Protestant denominations, reside the First and Second Baptist churches, as well as the traditionally segregated black congregations and the White congregations. Within the small town in which I grew up, like most of its kind, there were more churches than any other kind of business. Most similar to our own were the Missionary Baptists and the Freewill Baptists. The Assembly of God believed in a less reserved and stoic celebration of faith, including speaking in tongues and faith healing and the laying on of hands. They also believed that one could backslide and be in need of salvation again, much like Catholics, but without the confessional. Our denomination believed that once you were saved, you were always saved. Then there were the Methodists, the Presbyterians, the Church of God, the Church of Christ, the Pentecostals whose women wore long denim skirts, and the scattering of Mennonites. Larger towns had Episcopalians, Lutherans…it's dizzying for me, and I grew up in it. Some of the doctrinal differences were insignificant, but for some, the devil was in the details. Not a few believed that all of the sinners except their very own congregation were going to Hell on a shingle, and they might not be too sure about the last few pews. Add to that

environment the hysteria caused by the grid going down and the kids being hungry, and one can imagine how even minor religious differences might affect even otherwise homogenous White areas following The Balk.

Ideally, in a survival situation where things are desperate, just staying alive might trump racialism, and racialism might trump religious differences, but based on human history, that's not the safe way to bet. There really are two large Protestant Christian denominations who are somewhat prepared for balkanization. They are the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and Christian Identity adherents. There are over six million Mormons in the U.S. who are a part of their official church, and another quarter million who belong to one of several Fundamentalist Latter Day Saints sects which believe in, if not practice, polygamy. Their collective sphere of influence stretches from Arizona to Idaho, centered on Utah, of course. The LDS and the FLDS are organized preppers, encouraging not only communities and churches but individual households to stock up on canned and nonperishable food and other essential supplies, even operating large canneries for that purpose. Deep in the collective Mormon psyche are prophecies that the U.S. government will collapse and their theocracy, called Deseret, will rise as a powerful independent nation. Their founder, Joseph Smith, called the idea 'The White Horse Prophecy'. Those who have read my 'Hasten The Day' trilogy, once again, will recognize this scenario as unfolding during The Balk. Their organizational skills from the grassroots and community level up the state and regional plane position

them well to consolidate and retain power following a collapse and absence of Federal authority.

Up until a generation ago, the LDS was openly racial, denying that nonWhites even had souls, until the NCAA threatened to stop broadcasting sporting events at B.Y.U. unless they changed that policy publicly. They have since imported a fair number of Pacific Islander converts to Mormonism, and Utah, from their mission work there over the last two decades. Many of the F.L.D.S., however, are unreformed and unapologetic on that issue, just as they are on polygamy. Interestingly, the recent Supreme Court ruling legalizing gay marriage opens the door to legalizing polygamous marriage next, if matrimony is no longer defined as the legal union of one man and one woman. Such a court case would both marginalize the L.D.S. somewhat from mainstream society as being 'fringe' again, as well as strengthen and reunite it internally, which would be a profitable trade-off for them. Some Protestant Christians already consider Mormonism to be a cult, and the L.D.S. to not even be a Christian denomination, per se. From what I have seen of Mormons I know, they will be better off than most of the rest of us, when the day comes.

Christian Identity is a much smaller denomination, with perhaps 25,000 official adherents throughout the United States, and perhaps twice again that number who share most of the beliefs of Christian Identity. Many of them live in the Ozarks or Appalachians, or in other predominantly White, rural areas. Although it is divided into more and less radical sects

referred to as 'single seedline' and 'dual seedline', all Christian Identity doctrines share the basic views that Whites are the Israelites of the Bible, and therefore are God's chosen people. They are, then, definitively racialist. With few actual churches or congregations, most worship in family units or with other family units, and practice their faith in chosen withdrawal from mainstream Judeo-Christianity. One could, indeed, write a book simply about different Christian Identity doctrines, and indeed, others have.

But within the current borders of the currently United States are also many other religions. There are Hindus, largely from India, following what some of them don't even realize was originally a racial religion developed by the Aryans who conquered northern India and brought civilization to it 6,000 years ago. The caste system of 'varnas', which comes from the same root word as our 'varnish', meaning color, were supposed to keep the Aryan's descendants from interbreeding with the native Dravidians. It worked, pretty much, for a long time. Then there are Sikhs, whose turbans often get them confused with Muslims, and Buddhists and Shintoists and Odinists and Wiccans and Druids, and everything else that ever was, it seems like. When the lid comes off, some people will shed their religion and their philosophy like a sweater during the summer, and focus on survival. Others will cling to their faiths more tightly than ever. Remember the witchcraft trials? Imagine how some will behave towards non-believers if they think they're acting out a chapter of Revelations in real time?

The apocalyptic cleansing could sweep away as many as ethnic and racial differences, once it gets started.

While it is true that more people have been killed in places like Cambodia, the Soviet Union, and China by those trying to enforce an absence of religion, those squabbling over whose version of belief is best ranks a close second in terms of bloodletting, historically. The Crusades. The Thirty Year's War. England vs. Spain. The I.R.A.. You get the picture. Right now, religious division means some people wanting to protest or otherwise stop abortions, while others support a woman's 'right to choose'. Some support traditional marriage, while others applaud the legalization of gay marriage. But when The Balk comes, faith will take on a higher degree of importance, and there won't be a civil authority around to curb mob rule. People tend to revert back to their most deeply held, primitive, basic group identities when the thin threads of civilization that bind them to others more removed from them break. Their families. People who look and sound like them. People who think and believe what they do, or who can fake it reasonably well, pragmatically. Those who are different will be turned on by the mob. Like any other differentiation, you're going to be better off living around people who are more homogeneous, and in a way that's similar to you, when things fall apart. As a matter of fact, becoming a part of a community means being involved politically and socially and culturally with it, and building connections and relationships with others within it for mutually advantageous future support. It's all about networking, from a community watch on steroids to a

bartering system to group public works projects for the collective good. Join a local civic organization. Be active in your community. In many White areas of New America, that means either going to a local church, or at least being on friendly terms with some who do. Being the only Odinist or Wiccan in a Christian community when the lights go out will be just about as good for you and your families’ long term survival chances as being an HIV positive Somalian. Maybe worse, if the Somalian can sing ‘Kumbaya’ in English.

## Chapter Five

*Stand By Your Plan*

**THE WHITEST STATES BY PERCENTAGE (2010 CENSUS)**

| State | % white alone (not Hispanic) |
|---|---|
| Maine | 94.4% |
| Vermont | 94.3% |
| West Virginia | 93.2% |
| New Hampshire | 92.3% |
| North Dakota | 88.9% |
| Iowa | 88.7% |
| Montana | 87.8% |
| Kentucky | 86.3% |
| Wyoming | 85.9% |
| South Dakota | 84.7% |

"Balkanization is the further segregation of like people into their own states." –Overall2

Now, let's think once again about where you live. How far is it to the nearest home inhabited by a nonWhite? How far is it to the nearest place where a group of more than one hundred of them could be gathered? How far are you from the nearest highway or interstate that is less than one tank of gas away from the nearest concentration of nonWhites?

How well do you know your neighbors? Do they share your patriotic views? What skills, supplies, or resources do they have at their disposal? Would they work together at something more assertive than a community watch program, if the situation required it? Have you discussed such a potential scenario with them?

How far is it to the nearest grocery store? Gas station? Food distribution center warehouse? Propane storage yard? Water storage tank? Military base?

Take a look inside your pantry and cupboard. How much food do you have on hand? Enough to feed your household for a month? A week? Do you cook with electricity or gas? What will you do without them? What about electric power, do you have solar backup or a gasoline or diesel generator? How about candles and lamps and kerosene for them?

How much water do you have stored? Are you on a well, a cistern, or municipal water? Where is the nearest source of fresh water? Do you know how to purify it for drinking?

What firearms do you have on hand? How much ammunition for each? How many people in your family are proficient in their use, in an emergency?

Do you have extra gasoline and oil and basic repair tools on hand for your automobile?

Have you considered putting together a bugout bag of emergency necessities that could be grabbed while you were heading out the door if you had to evacuate quickly? What

would you need to put in one? Food, water, a change of sturdy clothes and hiking boots, a backup weapon?

Do you have extra medications, especially for life-preserving prescriptions, on hand? Think about everything you use in your life every day, and what you would do without them, or to replace them.

Has your family talked about or rehearsed what to do if an emergency happens and they are at school, or if they are at home and you are at work or elsewhere? Do they know where to meet you, or whether to stay put and wait for you? Do you all know a simple, easy to remember code word to indicate when it is time to enact an action plan based on previous practice?

Using a map, draw a radius out fifty miles from the nearest concentration of nonWhite population, in red. Are you inside it? Locate all of the safe rendezvous points which your family could meet up at within a ten mile radius of your home, at mark them. Then choose several safe havens. They could be the homes of relatives or close friends in more rural, homogeneous areas who would accept you and your family, if you had to evacuate your home. Mark them. Then, memorize the routes to each of them from your home, and prioritize them, with the nearest being the first priority. Involve all of your family in your plan. Make sure that you don't choose routes that enter into other red zones. What is plan one? What is plan two?

Think about whether you prefer to lie low, or take a stand. If you stay in place, will you get a generator and enjoy electricity, or will you not, since the noise of the generator and the obvious light and noise of the electricity might make you a target for other, less fortunate souls? If you go on the road to evacuate, will you go during the day when you can see better, or at night when fewer people might be out, but those who are can see you better than you can see them?

Plan. Prepare, Rehearse. Drill. Practice. But most of all, resolve that when the time comes you will ruthlessly protect what is yours, without hesitation.

First of all, if you have kids, even if your school district is pristinely White, I'd advise you to pull them out and home school them. I know that's hard for some to do, when there's only one parent or both parents work and there's no babysitter, but I really recommend it, if at all possible.

If it's not possible, please ask your kids every day what they are learning in school, what they are discussing, not just in social studies classes, but others, as well. Ask pointed questions. Develop a dialogue with your kids, so they know what you believe, and what you don't. That way they know what you would approve of them being taught, and what you wouldn't. Don't be afraid to call out the teachers on their BS, either. Teachers fear the administration, admininstrators fear the school board, and the board fears the voters. They all fear negative publicity. Use that.

How sure am I that you may need all this? More certain than most of the colonists would have been if you'd asked them whether they should be an independent nation or not in, say, 1770.

Imagine telling an East Berliner in 1985 that the wall was going to come down dividing their city without a shot being fired in just a few years?

History is full of inevitabilities which only seemed so in hindsight. But I can tell you one thing...multiracialism is inherently unstable, compared to homogeneous societies. And multiracial democracy, even more so, it just cannot fly. What cannot fly, must fall, and what is falling, we should still push, and say, fall faster, because I tell you this, the only alternative to Balkanization is White minority status and eventual extinction through amalgamation. So, unless you want mulatto great grandchildren, you'd better pray that I'm right. The only uncertainty remaining is whether it will happen soon enough for it to be Whites vs. Blacks vs. Hispanics, et cetera, or whether it will just be the Mexicans and Chinese fighting it out to see who will inherit America. I'm an optimist about it, though, I believe that it will happen within our lifetimes, and furthermore, within the next decade. I am hopeful about it. Hopeful that it will happen, that is, considering the alternative, which is extinction. Every Confederate flag attack, every black riot, and every anti-White action by the federal government further divides the nation and pushes us closer to it.

I think that we will lose half the continent, and half of our current White population in North America, before we have a White nation, mostly due to starvation and disease, and secondarily due to direct enemy action. But I believe that without the U.S.'s interference and pushing diversity on them, many if not all European nations will be able to sort things out there with a lot less loss of life. And those who will die first, here and there, will be the liberals who socialize and live among the nonWhites, so the death rate for liberals will be higher than for racialists, from the gate. It's true that racism is a disease that is spread through contact with nonWhites, for many. But on the other hand, few liberals live in the rural White areas, either. They live in nice gated communities which the nonWhite hordes will consider easy pickings once the lights go out for a week or so.

Sometimes people have to be pushed to the breaking point before they will fight back. They have to feel threatened. It's easy for them to feel insulated and safe and comfortable. The lights are still on, there's still beer in the fridge, and a game on tv. But the times, they are a'changing. When their backs are to the wall, our enemies tie sharp rocks to the end of sticks. When our backs are to the wall, we split atoms. I've been involved in the White Nationalist movement for over a quarter century, and I have NEVER seen a time when racial differences were as hot and the potential for breakup as likely as they are right now. That's why the ballot box is no longer an avenue to liberty for our people. NonWhites in the U.S. fifteen years of age are already the majority. No pro-White administration will

ever be elected again, not  under this government. That's why we need a New America.

Depending on the circumstance of The Balk, you might have to revise and adapt your bugout or hunker down plans to suit changing threats or opportunities. One rendezvous point or safe haven might be unreachable, or no longer safe. Transportation or communication might break down. Illness or injury could intervene. The roads might be blocked with stalled or wrecked cars, or roadblocks by law enforcement or brigands or both.  Martial law might be declared, curtailing all travel. But since other people in your circle of trust will learn your plan and be acting accordingly, you should try to stick to it as closely as possible.  When you adapt and improvise, change or throw away only the parts of the plan which have been compromised, retaining the rest. Don’t just make things up as you go along. That’s what undisciplined lower primates do.

How many White Nationalists or preppers or patriots or three percenters do you know personally? Being facebook friends doesn’t count. Those more than a hundred miles away from you don’t count. How many of them are involved in your Balkanization plan? How many have you trained with, ‘in real life’?  How many would you trust to share a bunker, or a foxhole, with? For those whom you DO trust, do you have a telephone tree established, or an alternate means of communication and rendezvous set up?

How many of your friends do you trust? I mean, really trust, as in, trust with your family’s lives? Do they have addictions or

habits? Are they reckless or hot-tempered? Think about who you want to be in your innermost circle of trust very carefully. When civil order collapses, the only thing holding people in check will be their own sense of honor, or superior strength and firepower. Keep that in mind when associating with anyone now, and you won't have to keep an eye on them, then.

Many survivalists spent their retirement funds buying gas masks and fallout shelters and canned food in the 1980's, in anticipation of a nuclear war with the Soviet Union, or a 'Red Dawn' type invasion scenario. By now, all of their firearms and ammunition and other cached material are just so much rust and mildew. So, it's important to consider the timeframe of when the Balkanization may happen. I'm not an alarmist, or a paranoid "The End Is Near!" doomsayer. But in many ways, the breakup of America has already begun.

Every day in the news we read articles that are increasingly polarized to the left or the right, and people choose to read views which agree with their own, as previously mentioned, and read opposing views only to reinforce their own and point out to others how stupid and wrong and evil the other side is. We ALL do this. But never before have supposedly mainstream news sources been so politicized. Headline stories are at best editorials, and more often, polemics. We only express our political thoughts with those who reinforce them. And every day, there is something new to enrage and outrage and desensitize us. Another dozen black on White crimes. Another couple or three asinine anti-White statements by liberal

celebrities. Another black racial protest. Another hundred thousand illegal Mestizos pouring in. Our frustration builds up. And up. And up.

Don't worry, the other side is also feeling more alienated and removed from the mainstream of public discourse, too. To them, the government is racist, and their enemy, as well. Even as it is dominated by nonWhites or those who forward nonWhite interests, and even as it bends over backwards to placate them, it is never enough to prove that it isn't out to get them, is it? Both ends feel increasingly at odds with the middle. The gulf between the races, exacerbated by every police shooting or viral video, widens every day. Noone knows when the societal contractions will finally succeed in pushing out this baby, but it's crowning soon. Everyone feels it. It's in the air so much that non-political people are openly talking about it. Rebellion. Civil War. Secession. And now, we're teaching them a new word. Balkanization.

What the readers of this book need to be aware of, however, is that change does not happen overnight. A tree chopped in half may fall slowly or quickly, at its own speed, with vagaries such as the wind and surrounding trees and the air temperature influencing the rate of descent. Likewise, societies do not usually collapse overnight. Rome wasn't built in a day, and neither was the collapse of its empire something that happened in a day, either.

Sheer inertia will keep things going for a bit. Centripetal force will keep things from falling, for a time. Remember the

line in the Declaration of Independence: *"all experience hath shewn, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed."* White people are the most patriotic, the most likely to serve in the armed forces, and the slowest to wrath, of all of the American peoples. We get it honest, from our Anglo-Saxon ancestors. 'The Wrath Of The Awakened Saxon' by Rudyard Kipling is emblematic of that:

"It was not part of their blood,

It came to them very late,

With long arrears to make good,

When the Saxon began to hate.

They were not easily moved,

They were icy -- willing to wait

Till every count should be proved,

Ere the Saxon began to hate.

Their voices were even and low.

Their eyes were level and straight.

There was neither sign nor show

When the Saxon began to hate.

It was not preached to the crowd.

It was not taught by the state.

No man spoke it aloud

When the Saxon began to hate.

It was not suddenly bred.

It will not swiftly abate.

Through the chilled years ahead,

When Time shall count from the date

That the Saxon began to hate."

White people are also the most disciplined and law and order loving of the American peoples, and this tendency won't just evaporate into thin air once it becomes obvious to everyone that The Balk has begun in earnest. In many rural White areas, at first the breakup of America will just be something they see happening on tv or the internet. Unless they have friends or relatives in the cities where black riots spread to, or where Mexicans are raising their flag and kicking out the Gringos, it won't affect them personally. Not unless it's triggered by an economic collapse or an E.M.P. attack or some other form of direct or indirect warfare. As long as the grid

stays up, they will maintain a benign apathy towards the situation. Many will even deny that it's a racial civil war beginning, or will assume that the government will soon have things under control and the civil unrest quelled, just like it always has so many times before. "This too will pass", they will say. "Things will blow over, like they always do". Some will be praying for peace and healing and reconciliation. They will become angry at anyone whom they perceive as 'racist'. They will go so far as to say that the government or a shadowy conspiracy is working to create division between the races so that the citizenry won't be united against it. That those taking up arms are playing right into the government's (corporation's, bosses', et cetera) hands. This is a natural stage of denial, a part of every grieving process when a person or a nation dies. They'll have to work their way through it. You can hold their hand and help them along.

Others, more savvy, will be praying for war. They'll be doing their last minute defensive preparations and stockpiling supplies, or flat-out heading for the hills or the front lines, depending on their spirit. The first direct impingement on life for many Whites who live outside of major cities will be economic in nature. Long before the electricity goes off and the water stops flowing from their faucets, the stock market will take a nosedive and many banks will begin to fail. Millions of people will lose their savings and their investments, and then they will call upon the government to do something to end the anarchy. But of course, at that point, it will already be too late. That's when the first wave of panic will set in. Runs on

banks, and the cleaning out of grocery store shelves and hardware stores and every other kind of store, will commence, as inflation makes whatever money people have physical access to worth less and less every day. It'll be like any forecast ice storm, and grow from there. Foodstuffs and durable goods will be snatched up first, and barter systems may develop in some instances. Foreign investors will sell off any stock or bond based in the U.S.. Foreign banks will drop the dollar like it's hot. Foreign governments, including perhaps China, will call in the billions of dollars of debt we owe to them. They may well seize U.S. owned assets on foreign soil as partial compensation for their losses. That is not, though, the end of civilization.

Even after interstate commerce is shut down by riots and shortages and delivery failures, and there's nothing left to buy in stores, most predominantly White areas will retain a certain degree of law and order. Those with nearby military bases which have predominantly White units on base will be the safest. Those units, for those soldiers who don't simply desert and go home to protect their families, or go on the road as brigands or warlords, or rush to join the fight in the nearest city, may end up running things, locally. Those communities with nearby military bases containing racially diverse units will be the least safe. In fact, they may fall victim to the predations of them. Absent that threat, local law enforcement, being in most cases directly tied to the community, can be expected to do their best to keep their jurisdictions peaceful. Think of the first Mad Max movie. Local and County and State police will in

many cases either continue to do their jobs, or in some instances regroup to protect their own families and local communities; but so long as their supplies of gasoline hold out, they will keep protecting and serving. Perhaps as volunteers, or perhaps as local warlords. At some point, they might be picked off one by one, or overwhelmed by mobs. Until then, though, some White areas will keep chugging along as best they can with rationing, collectivization, sharing, and the deputization of local militias. Yes, that means any able bodied citizen willing to carry a gun and use it, whom the de facto warlords trust. It will be a neo-feudal time.

A real turning point will come when the local power grid goes down, and can't be recovered. Psychologically, that will be the breaking juncture for many, when they realize that this is not a temporary crisis. Another will be when the t.v. stations either go off the air one by one, or change their tone and content so obviously that it's clear that they represent new bosses and new power structures, if they aren't censored or taken off the air by the government in a desperate last ditch effort to maintain the loyalty of its erstwhile citizens. With rioting and starvation deepening in most major cities, an untrustworthy racially mixed armed forces, and hundreds of thousands of unsupported servicemen and citizens stuck overseas with no way home, the Federal position will be untenable. Martial law will be invoked, but it won't solve their problems, only exacerbate them. Habeas Corpus may be suspended, but it won't save them. Even direct military action against civilians will only further fragment their weak coalition.

People will turn inward, and redevelop local and regional loyalties, concerning themselves with their own day to day survival. Washington, D.C. might as well be on Mars, then.

The internet will last a bit longer, for those who have power and access to it. Local radio station and tv stations, likewise, will eventually either go silent or become the mouthpieces of regional warlords consolidating their power base. The same can be said for old fashioned print newspapers, which could experience a revival if they maintain the supplies and power to keep printing. Without electric power or running water or gasoline for their automobiles, the most fortunate and best prepared Americans will scramble to adapt to a neo-19th century lifestyle. Most of the rest will start getting hungry.

It will take many months, or even years, for the front lines to solidify. During that time, black and Hispanic enclaves in many cities will either be exterminated, starved out, or forced to surrender and be deported. The same is true of White enclaves in predominantly black or Hispanic areas. Millions will be slaughtered ruthlessly. Millions more will starve and die from disease and cold during the first winter after the collapse. Power will shift back and forth. So will the front. Alliances will be made and broken. Intervention by foreign forces such as the United Nations can be expected, but would be anticipated more in the large diverse population centers of the Mid-Atlantic and Northeastern states, rather than in New America's Heartland. Two or three state governments in Western or Midwestern states which are able to sustain control and form coalitions could become the biggest boys on the block, in that

vacuum. The collapse and retreat of civilization will happen more quickly in some places than others, and the recovery of some degree of civil order and modern conveniences and services will happen in some areas more readily than in others, as well. Populations will continue to shift, drastically, as war will force people to vote with their feet. The demographics will segregate themselves out as the survivors become refugees.

The process of Balkanization, then, will not look the same everywhere, or happen at the same time or at the same pace in every place. Those in cities will have to run for their lives. Those in the country may have to learn to milk a cow. Its effects will not be the same everywhere. It will, however, irrevocably change every aspect of American life. It will either take, or alter, your life, and your family's lives. What you do now to prepare yourself and them for it, could make the difference in whether you will live to see how it all turns out. Make your plan, make it known to those with the need to know, and stick to it.

## Chapter Six

*Let's Get Personal*




Very few of us are in the kind of shape we should be in, frankly. Take an honest assessment of your physical health. If the collapse came next week, would you be able to run a mile without stopping, if you had to? How about a half mile? How about a hundred yards? Could you hike ten miles in a day? With a full backpack and firearm to carry?

Do you know how to ride a horse? How about how to catch, saddle, and bridle one? Since we discussed above a consideration of what medicines you need to survive, do you know how to forage for medicinal or nutritional herbs that could be used as alternative remedies to your prescriptions? How about wild plants that can be used as food sources, how many of them can you identify and know how to prepare

properly? Especially plants native to the areas you will be staying in or travelling through? Training isn't all just physical, much of it is mental, in developing a tough, ruthless attitude, and learning what you can now, to use later.

Do you know enough about self-defense and martial arts to protect yourself in unarmed combat? Does your family? Even young children can and should be taught some rudimentary self-defense methods. Developing the strength and stamina for hand to hand combat, and for travelling long distances under human power, is something which you can begin doing, right now.

What if your kids, God forbid, were on their own? Are you teaching your children as much as is age appropriate, how to take care of themselves in other ways? While homeschool can provide parents with control over the book lessons, it also, perhaps even more importantly, gives parents the ability to teach their kids independent life skills, things that will be useful in a collapse of any kind or even in an emergency. If the grid collapsed or you were somehow separated from your child, would your child be able to survive without you? Would your older kids be able to help keep your younger kids alive? Can they cook enough to feed themselves, for example? Do they know where they could find the highest percentage of White people, and which direction to head for safety, if worst comes to worst and you are not there to lead them to a safe refuge?

Much like talking to your kids about the birds and the bees, the discussion of where to head if civil order collapses and you aren’t around can be understandably awkward. With that in mind, make your instruction age appropriate, and put the feed down there where the chickens can get at it. For kids under ten, I’d recommend telling them which adults are trustworthy, and which authority figures. Beyond that, instruct them to go to the nearest available relative, neighbor, or friend whom you would trust with your child’s life. Of course, tell them to avoid and distrust any “other” people, such as strangers, especially strangers who are not White.

For older kids, allow them to observe and listen in on your rendezvous and safe haven plans. Let them know where the potential danger areas are, and the red zones of nonWhite population areas. In general, if you live in the Southeastern or the Southwestern U.S., the safe direction for them to travel is North, avoiding larger towns and staying off of highways and interstates as much as possible. If you live within a hundred miles of either coast, the safe direction to travel is inland. Discuss with them where the nearest areas are that are predominantly White, and the safest routes to take to get there. Familiarize them with the maps of the areas, and take a family vacation driving from your home to the rendezvous points and safe havens, so they can see these areas for themselves.

I trust that I didn’t do my readers a disservice by describing in the previous chapter a scenario in which The Balk is a slow, creeping collapse, rather than an overnight paradigm shift. You

may have time to get in better shape gradually, day by day, as life becomes a bit more grueling and demanding, and physical exercise more commonplace. You could lose fat and gain muscle in the several weeks it takes for the grid to succumb to neglect and abuse, before the gas runs out locally, and the lights go out forever. That would be a less abrupt and painful transition, wouldn't it?

The reality is, though, that we just can't guarantee how slow the collapse will be. You might or might not have time to stock up on groceries and seeds and read up on how to grow or procure your own food. You may or may not have a chance to become proficient in armed and unarmed combat. Perhaps you will have time to get the heck out of dodge when the fecal matter strikes the oscillating blades. Or, perhaps not. Are you really apathetic enough to take that chance? Let me put it to you this way. If every major city in America went up in the flames of race riots tonight, how ready would you be? If you're like me, the correct answer is 'not ready enough'. Let's do something about that then, shall we? While we still can?

For an hour a day, or at night, if you prefer, simply get out from behind the computer or off the couch and start walking. Thirty minutes away, and thirty minutes back. Vary the direction you go to break the monotony of exercise. Take an I-pod for music if you want. Just get up and do it. One hour a day. You say you don't have any extra time in your schedule? Then sleep for an hour less at night. The sleep you do get will be more restful, after your exercise. Do that for a month.

The second month, jog for a half hour out, then jog for the half hour back. This will be even more beneficial for your health than walking. After a month of that, try alternating running and walking. Within six months, you should be in good enough shape to tackle longer hikes, and feeling much better, to boot.

The next time that you have a backyard cookout, invite the neighbors over. Without getting into specific politics, talk about how nice it is to have good neighbors that can look out for one another's property, and how grateful you are to have them living nearby. Casually mention how fortunate you both are to live in an area where crime isn't a problem. State that if that ever changed, people might have to work together even more. Suggest, in a very hypothetical way, that if drive-by shootings ever started to happen nearby, or even if people recklessly started speeding past your homes, the day might come when you would have to limit or slow traffic through your neighborhood. Plant the seeds in their mind of hypothetically barricading the street to limit access to your homes, if the other neighbors also agreed, of course. That will potentially open the doors to future conversations, and soften them up for further discussions of mutual defense, as you sound out just how far they might be willing to follow you.

If you plan on hunkering down, then you might seek to develop the kind of interfamilial relationship with one or two of your neighbors where the ladies discuss putting together first aid kits and locating the nearest dependable supplies (creeks, rivers, lakes) of fresh water, while the men can discuss

defenses. The kids can learn c.p.r. and fire-starting skills together, under the parents' supervision. Once you have one or two neighbors allied with you, host a "getting to know you" block party, and see how many of the people on your block could be felt out in a similar fashion. Would a few of them be willing to close off and fortify the entire block, if necessary? You get the picture.

Network with those you already agree with politically 'in real life'. Get to know them, and spend time together offline. Invite online friends to your place for a BBQ or a campout, or to go shooting together. Build trust. Let your families get to know one another. Socialize with like-minded people. Make an effort to build bridges and get people together who may have to stand together one day, if they are within a reasonable distance of you, especially.

Over time, the discussion may evolve into how you would handle strangers. Would refugees be welcomed into your collective defended space with open arms, or vetted, first? If they would be vetted, would the interview process favor admission of those most in need, such as the sick, or the elderly, or children, or those with the most skills or resources to contribute to the group? Would you restrict admission based on race or sexual orientation? That one might be important to determine ahead of time, before it comes up. What about resource allocation? Will you collectivize your food and ration it out, or leave to each his own? What about the division of labor? At least in the abstract, some of these ideas can be tossed around before the lights go out.

Strengthening oneself psychologically means knowing yourself intimately, first. Are you the kind of person who could shoot an armed refugee who was an immediate threat to you or your family, or would compassion make you hesitate? What about an armed person who was only a potential threat? What about an unarmed person? What about a woman or a child? These are the kinds of issues that might arise, as well, and should be considered, now.

Of course, not all of us know exactly how we would respond in a survival situation after The Balk. Until we are tested, we can’t be sure. Some people might end up being more ruthless than they ever would have thought they could be, and some people may turn out to be weaker than you ever would have imagined. Try to picture yourself in as many different scenarios as possible, though, to determine what areas of mental toughness you need to work on. How do you think you would respond, psychologically, if your wife was raped, or if your children were killed? Would you want to withdraw from life, and be suicidal, or continue living? Under what circumstances, if any, would you consider killing yourself? Under what circumstances, if any, would you consider killing a loved one? Keeping going when there seems to be little hope for the future requires a higher degree of mental toughness than most of us are regularly called on to exhibit. After the collapse, that requirement may come calling more often. Can you answer it, time after time, without breaking?

Sometimes, though, creating an enclave or a defensible compound is just forestalling the inevitable. You should not

prepare to stand and fight if you are behind enemy lines. That's why it pays to know, within reason, where those enemy lines might be.

Here are some basic suggestions. Grab a national map of the U.S.. Find your current location. You'll want to play along at home for this exercise.

Many people have asked me, when I began writing this book, where they should go, to be on the safe side of the enemy lines. As I have said, that depends on where you are, now.

If you are anywhere Southeast of Arkansas, Tennessee, and West Virginia, head for those states, whichever one is closest to you. Not just anywhere in those states, but to the mountainous areas of them. The caveat to that is that, as discussed earlier, many Southern Nationalists such as my friend Gregory Kay and the League of the South believe that Whites can and will hold their mud. So, if nothing else, take a look at your local situation, and imagine it going full blown chimp-out, then decide for yourself.

If you are anywhere Southwest of Utah and Colorado, head for the Northern portions of those states. I know some very tough and well prepared, trained guys in Arizona who plan on defending in position, but they are the exception to the rule.

If you are anywhere West of Idaho, head East. If you are anywhere East of Pennsylvania, head West. Unless you are in

the far Northeast, of course, which is still one of the Whitest regions of the continent.

Thinking of it like that should put virtually every White person in the U.S. within one day's drive of relative safety.

More specifically, remember the 50/50 plan: if you are anywhere within a fifty mile radius of any city of over 50,000 people, move further away, outside of the red zone.

Now that you know in a general sense where you would be headed, map out various routes to get there based on different contingencies vis-à-vis certain routes being blocked by one threat or another, as I mentioned.

As you exercise your body and mind, as you study, as you network, watch for growing trends such as the shale oil boom peaking and U.S. petroleum production then plummeting, leading to massive unemployment and a crash in our nation's Gross Domestic Product. Be aware of every Federal law which cities, counties, or states refuse to enforce, from the legalization of gay marriage to cannabis, to sanctuary cities. Observe how the election of every Democrat President pushes the red states to begin to defy the national government more and more. After the next election, the Commander in Chief will begin to serve like an ancient ruler of the Holy Roman Empire. They will officially rule over a patchwork of emerging nations which they exercise little real control over. What remains of the poorly maintained infrastructure will be turned into a number of toll roads in many places. For outsiders, costs of travelling through the toll booths could be higher than for

citizens of the states they are in, of course. There are a million warning signs that The Balk is imminent. Anything to do with racial strife, riots, protests, and ethnic tension should be a red flag to you.

"Immigrants devoted to their own cultures and religions are not influenced by the secular politically correct façade that dominates academia, news-media, entertainment, education, religious and political thinking today," according to James Walsh, the former Associate General Counsel of the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service. "They claim the right not to assimilate, and the day is coming when the question will be how can the United States regulate the defiantly unassimilated cultures, religions and mores of foreign lands? Such immigrants say their traditions trump the U.S. legal system. Balkanization of the United States has begun." Indeed. There's that magic word, again, notice. And these nonWhite third worlders, unassimilatable even if they were willing to be assimilated, may well be the progenitors of the breakup of America.

In a similar tone, Samuel Huntington, the author of 'Clash of Civilizations', wrote: "It is my hypothesis that the fundamental source of conflict in this new world will not be primarily economic. The great divisions among humankind and the dominating source of conflict will be cultural. Nation states will remain the most powerful actors in world affairs, but the principal conflicts of global politics will occur between nations and groups of different civilizations. The clash of civilizations will dominate global politics. The fault lines between

civilizations will be the battle lines of the future." When you hear the word 'culture', automatically substitute the word 'race'. They are one and the same, insofar at least as culture is a product of race. That's why 'multiculturalism' is a euphemism for 'multiracialism'. Whenever you hear the word 'civilization', think 'race', as well. Obviously only one race ever created civilization. Whites. Asians can maintain it but not invent it, and blacks can do neither, even when it is handed to them. So what Huntington is saying is that the trend for future global conflicts in the 21st century will be racial warfare. I agree.

According to columnist Frosty Wooldridge, "Mexican-Hispanic-Latino crime dominates cities like Los Angeles, Houston, San Antonio, Chicago and Miami. Mexican illegal aliens fill 40 percent of California's prisons. That state houses the most illegal alien migrants of any state in the Union. As the most popular immigrant state for legal and illegal entries, California's schools house over 150 different languages from all over the world. At least 50 percent dropout rates guarantee students "graduate" functionally illiterate in the English language as well as their own language.

Today, in Minneapolis, with nearly 100,000 Somali immigrants, immigrant children cause endless learning failures for American kids vying for attention in schools dominated by dozens of different languages. Thus, scant learning manifests in those schools. (Source: "Anger, resentment over school diversity"; USA Today, Nov 26, 2014, by Greg Toppo.)

Chicago, New York City, Atlanta and Detroit face similar problems. In the Denver Post from November of 2014, we learn that Denver Public Schools must now teach to 172 different languages from immigrants from over 140 different countries around the world. The world houses 180 countries give or take a revolution and drawing of new boundaries."

The only way out, the only solution, the only means of securing the future for our children, is to make it 184 or so. Think about that while you're out jogging around for an hour each day. Every time you feel sore or tired, blame Hart and Cellar and Kennedy and the rest who opened up the floodgates of nonWhite immigration in 1965. Blame the manipulative Jews who use their ownership of the news and entertainment media to promote White genocide. Blame every White man you know who won't speak up for his people. They're the reason your legs are cramping and your lungs are burning. Let it turn. Let it fuel you. Let it drive you. You're on your way to New America.

## Chapter Seven

*Let me count the ways…*




Organically, there are three to five distinct regions developing into the foundations of new nations within the boundaries of the current United States. The Southeast, becoming more black as Whites move out to the upper South and blacks from Northern and Midwestern cities move back in; the Southwest, becoming more Hispanic as both legal and illegal Latrino immigrants move in, and Whites move North to the Western states; and the Heartland, which is becoming more White. However, both coasts are almost irretrievably mixed. On the West coast, Whites are moving East, and on the

East coast, Whites are moving West. Considering the potential for Chinese intervention and occupation of the West and United Nations intervention and occupation of the East coasts when America goes down, that makes five distinct regions. Whether they will further Balkanize into more, will depend on how barbaric things get, and how splintered the three primary groups are culturally and politically. This is the scenario, at least, that I outline in my 'Hasten The Day' trilogy. None of us have a crystal ball, of course, but it's the theory I'm working off of, based on ongoing demographic shifts within the U.S. and my observation of the Yugoslavian experience.

Ferguson, Missouri, was a 90% White town just two decades ago. As more blacks moved in, more Whites moved out. Eventually, the city council and mayor and city government became all black, as blacks voted to elect their own co-racialists. Yes, blacks, and Hispanics, overwhelmingly vote racially. They did so in the last two Presidential elections, as well. The President won 95 percent of the black vote and 43 percent of the White vote in 2008, according to exit polling, and 93 percent of the black vote and 39 percent of the White vote in his re-election. Only liberal Whites, who are a significant minority of our people, fail to vote racially.

Similarly, large areas of France, especially in Paris and Marseilles, have Balkanized because of nonWhite Muslim immigration. As more Muslims move in, more Whites move out, and Shariah law becomes the either de facto or the literal de jure law in those neighborhoods. Just as in Ferguson, Whites no longer even go there. It isn’t safe for them to.

The recent mass demonstrations by tens of thousands of White patriots in France and Germany against Muslim immigration under the PEGIDA banner, even opposed by the anti-White governments in those nations as they were, inspired millions to take a vocal stand against the invasion. German Chancellor Merkel' s regime financed left-wing and Muslim counter-demonstrators, fed and transported them at taxpayer expense to the rally sites, and sought to arrest and prosecute the anti-immigration protest leaders. Then, the German government bussed in thousands of African refugees to Dresden, where most of the Nationalists hailed from, in retaliation. Still, despite the government's attempts to divide and discredit the protest organizers, they continued. They grew. And, they made certain areas of Germany, especially, much less attractive to Muslims as potential cities to immigrate to. In that regard, at least, they were successful, in a regionally limited sense. Sometimes during an invasion, you take a stand and force the enemy to flow around you, if you have nowhere left to retreat. There may well be certain initially large enclaves of Whites, such as in the Gulf Coast region, which have to put this strategy into play, during The Balk.

According to a recent (July 2015) New York Times/C.B.S. News poll, 60% of Americans of all races describe racial relations in the U.S. as "generally bad". That's more than the percentage of the popular vote received by any winning Presidential candidate since 1972, the year that I was born. And, most of the people who are the most polarized in the

United States, the five percent on each end of the political spectrum who are actually eager for Balkanization and would say that racial relations are VERY bad, aren't the kind of folks who are likely to read the New York Times or watch C.B.S. News, or be polled by them. As discussed before, they get their news and information from less controlled sources which agree with and reinforce their own preconceived worldviews. So, the real number might be as high as three-quarters.

Sixty-eight percent of blacks now say that race relations in the U.S. are generally bad, twice the level as just after Obama was elected, and about the same as just after the acquittal of the police officers who arrested Rodney King in 1992, during the L.A. Riots. Interestingly, seven percent of White respondents stated that blacks were MORE favored to get ahead in American society than Whites, up two percent from last year. Seven percent. More than twice the number of Americans who supported independence at the beginning of the first revolution. What all this means, as the title of the report admits, is that the racial divide in America is growing, and rapidly. They are also separating themselves out physically. As the article on the poll states, "In large measure, the poll found that blacks and Whites live in separate societies. Most Whites say they do not live (79 percent), work (81 percent), or come in regular contact (68 percent) with more than a few blacks."

As of this writing the country of Myanmar, formerly called Burma, is dealing with its Muslim minority by rounding up and deporting them. It seems that they don't want to become

another Asian Muslim nation like Indonesia. This is a very nice way of dealing with multiculturalism, compared to genocide. Does that mean that the only alternative to deportation is killing off minorities directly? Not necessarily. After all, contrary to popular belief, 'genocide' does not only include mass murdering a people to extinction, as happened to the Whites in Haiti. According to the United Nations conventions on genocide of 1948, Article Two states that genocide can be any organized effort to deprive a people of their culture, identity, or institutions…kind of like what is being done to Whites in the United States and Europe, today. Diversity then, especially when it is promoted, legislated, and enforced by the government, equals White genocide. Today, multiculturalism is an existential threat to White people. The fact that it is only targeted at White nations and White communities makes that abundantly clear. The fact that they aren't being lined up and shot right now is supposed to make what is being done to us provide a sense of some kind of relief. At least the knockout game hasn't happened on their street yet, people think, right?

Do you know why that is? Do you know why they're glad to just be left alone, personally, at this point? Because noone wants to be the first one over the top of the trenches, charging into the machine gun fire. But, somebody has to be. When you leap, don't stop to look behind and see if anyone is following you. They will be. Just keep your eyes on the prize. Your act of encouragement will inspire others, without that even being a conscious goal of you just being who and what you are: a leader.

Let’s talk now about what I call the ‘radical flank effect’, as it relates to the Balkanization process. It’s part and parcel of the dialectic struggle, as first expressed by Hegel and later by Marx as what he called ‘dialectic materialism’. Basically, whenever there are two diametrically opposed ideologies clashing, they tend to each move towards the other and adopt certain features of the other, just as capitalism became more socialistic and communism became more laissez-faire and ‘perestroika’ during the cold war. Today’s conservatism is yesterday’s liberal position. Conservatives, afraid of being called ‘racist’, are always on the retreat, while liberals are always on the advance. That is why conservatism is no solution to our problems, it only exacerbates it. Conservatives want America to be turned into a majority nonWhite, third world cesspool through legal immigration, rather than through illegal immigration. To your grandkids, the subtle nuances of that difference won’t matter much. But at least, on the way to extinction, conservatives can avoid what they fear most, being called ‘racist’.

Expressly, the strongest of two competing worldviews pushes the weaker back, and whichever worldview is most rigid and unyielding, loses less ideological space. So, the more radical one is, the more extreme, the more you win. If not you directly, even if your reputation or credibility are martyred, then your cause still wins, because the radical flank effect allows a more extreme expression of an ideology to make a slightly more moderate expression of virtually the same ideas to seem more palatable to the mainstream by comparison.

Therefore, my advice to activists and patriots is always to take as hard and extreme a position as possible, to give the person slightly to your left more wiggle room to present a 'compromise' which is much closer to what you propose yourself than anything that the opposition would have ever accepted previously. Make the enemy relieved to be able to accept having their toe cut off by threatening to cut off their foot. Make them relieved to have their foot cut off by threatening to decapitate them. Everything is relative. Water always flows to the easiest path. So does human public opinion.

Curtis Hoagland, the CEO of a global social marketing agency, pointed out in a University of Pennsylvania presentation online, ironically, that "…the more extreme the personality and sensibility of the author in relation to the affinity, the more popular he or she is on social media. Affinities such as friendship, religion, political belief and geography devolve into narrower and narrower versions of themselves. The true purpose of the ISIS videos is not to shock Westerners outraged by the savagery; their purpose is to recruit like-minded zealots to the cause and establish their brand promise under a black flag. The ecosystem of social media is predicated on delivering more of what the user already likes. This, precisely, is the function of a Follow or Like." Once again, people tend to follow those who are going in the same direction that they are, who are just a couple of steps farther along. That's why YOU, dear reader, need to be

the one out in front, so that you can be a person of influence in your community, and a future leader of our New America.

Already, it is forming. For over twenty years, the migrations have been taking place. Just looking at one state, for instance, California, is astounding. In 1990, the Coeur d'Alene Press reported that one Orange County family had convinced "half its neighborhood" to relocate to Coeur d'Alene. According to the L.A. Times newspaper, over five hundred White California police officers, among them Mark Fuhrman of O.J. Simpson trial fame, have moved to Northern Idaho alone since the 1990s. The population of Kootenai County there has quadrupled in a single generation, mainly due to these White refugees, who have transformed local and county politics. In a High Country Press article from March of 2013 titled 'How Right Wing Emigrants Conquered North Idaho', the local reporter wrote that what had been a Democrat-leaning blue area is now a conservative bastion where only Republicans are office holders. Nearly two million Whites in total have fled California since the L.A. riots of twenty years ago for Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado. And, they're not through leaving, yet.

They're also not the only ones being driven out of southern California by the Hispanic invasion. It's not just from urban areas of the north that blacks are migrating back to the old South. Thirty-seven thousand blacks from Los Angeles have moved back to Georgia, and 29,000 to Florida, fueling the formation of New Africa and displacing southern Whites in turn, like dominos falling in a row. The Roman empire fell, in

the end, because the Huns pushed the Bulgars and the Goths into them.

Speaking of the domino effect, former ambassador George F. Kennan, one of the principle Cold War architects of the policy of 'containment' of Communist expansion, (under what they referred to as the 'Domino Theory', that if one country was allowed to fall to Communism, its neighbors might follow) repeatedly and vocally advocated for the United States to be divided up into twelve separate nations. In a July 27th, 2015 Observor opinion article, Bernard Quigley recorded that "Thomas Naylor, a retired Duke economist living in Vermont, said he had received ten personal letters from Mr. Kennan and several telephone calls between 2001 and 2003. "The subject was always the same—secession, the peaceful dissolution of the United States with Vermont leading the way," writes Mr. Naylor. "Kennan was a closet secessionist."

While in the realm of ambassadors and foreign policy, let's take a look at how the rest of the world might be affected by The Balk. This section is for our overseas readers. My fellow Americans, pretend to care. Some of these countries will become new friends and enemies of our future New America.

Closest to home, Canada will likely balkanize as well, following the American model closely, as they do in most things aside from personal freedoms. British Columbia will be occupied by the Chinese along with the rest of the West Coast. The prairie provinces are likely candidates to join New America. The Northern territories will revert to the Inuit and

Nunavit. Quebecois will get their much sought-after independence, perhaps allied with France. And, the Maritime provinces will probably be allied with the U.S. Northeastern states and go whichever way they go, probably to a U.N. protectorate. The most populated areas, such as Ontario, will be just as bloody and racially mixed up as any U.S. megalopolis, with similar results for all concerned. Except that White Canadians have fewer privately owned guns, so, their options are more limited.

Mexico, Central America, and much of South America will regress in technology and stability, with the possible exception of Argentina and a couple of other outliers with a high percentage of European population. Expect a number of overlapping regional wars to be fought conventionally across the southern tier of the continent. Gringos will be massacred as things go into a tailspin. Whether they can maintain control of their countries will depend on how plentiful and how determined they are to hold on. Mexico will probably send troops north of the erstwhile border to help Hispanics in the U.S., before it fragments when the cartels, losing their customer base with the U.S. economy and transport systems tanking, seeking political power instead.

In Europe, expect Nationalist parties to rise without American liberal influence, followed by an attempt to deport or segregate their nonWhite Muslim immigrants, Africans, and Jews. The success of these efforts will vary from nation to nation. Eastern Europe, which will become more ruthless more quickly, may end up in better shape than Western Europe, in

that regard. Russia will experience a great resurgence in power and prestige, as might Germany and France, if they can solve their refugee problem. Although the loss of the massive economic engine of the U.S. will cause a global depression, in the long run it could work out well for the old countries, should they decide to take advantage of every opportunity. Getting involved in the American civil war by sending Expeditionary or Peacekeeping forces, with or without NATO or UN helmets, would not be advisable for them. Developing trade with New America as it emerges, would. They will need raw materials, mineral resources, and of course grain and beef and other foodstuffs; New America will need finished machinery, vehicles, aircraft, ammunition, firearms, weapons, and medical supplies. The partnership could be quite symbiotic. One would hope that Russia might be an ally to New America, optimistically. We'll need all the help we can get, comrades.

Obviously, without the threat of direct U.S. military intervention on their behalf, Israel has the life expectancy of a tubercular moth, once the U.S. Federal government Balkanizes and the Arabs smell blood. The Jews have enough nuclear weapons to immolate Cairo, Baghdad, Tehran, Beirut, Ankara, and a dozen other neighbors, but not enough to kill every Muslim in the Middle East, which is what they would have to do to survive as the racially based Zionist state that they are today. Expect, absent the kind of genetically tailored virus I write in for 'Waiting For The Sun', to see a Muslim region stretching from India to Italy. Then it will fragment as they

start killing one another over Sunni vs. Shiite and lesser divisions. Sic Transit Gloria Jihadi.

India could break apart into Muslim vs. Hindu halves, both with huge populations and a nuclear arsenal. It could also go to war with China, which will be gobbling up territory in every direction, as previously discussed. Japan, without natural resources, would be extremely vulnerable to Chinese expansion. Australia and New Zealand, might, as well, or their worries might lie closer to home, with the populous and Muslim Indonesia. The two White nations will also experience a shortage of imported parts and products which they cannot manufacture themselves, or haven’t, but should be able to survive the change relatively intact, otherwise.

Africa, without Western aid, will become a charnal house of warring tribes, rampant disease, and barbarism. The population there should tank by one-half the first few years, then level off, gradually, at a pre-industrial standard of living. Don’t expect any Whites to survive on the continent, unless they band together quickly and stake out a chunk of territory to defend vigorously. Cough, Orange Free State, cough.

As I stated previously about specific states, for those who live in the U.S.; those European readers, or South African, or wherever you might be, should also consider immigrating if at all possible to a majority White area if you are not already in one secure and populous enough to survive as a viable enclave. Other than specific geographic prognostications, everything said of preparations to survive the Balkanization of

America may also be said of your own interests should your own nation destabilize following the absence of American economic, military, and political influence abroad. Look in your cupboard and about your house. Imagine doing without everything made in America. Then imagine how a worldwide economic depression caused by the collapse of the U.S. would affect distribution and transportation for your own area. Imagine how political alliances would shift. Who would benefit, and who would be weakened? Consider how your family's future would be affected, both directly and indirectly, in the long term. Would you miss us? Or would you hate to see us go, but love to watch us leave? How would you make it without us? Would you be better off than you are now? Think of it as a mental exercise. Write our eulogy in blood.

Another mental exercise, for those both foreign and domestic, is to think of how many acquaintances and friends you have. How many of them are such casual acquaintances that you do not discuss politics or religion or other sensitive subjects with them to any depth? How many of them do you already know that you disagree with politically or religiously, and so avoid the topic? Most people do not have a cause, at least not yet. If you do, as many of my readers do, you are already different, set apart, estranged from them, in your true inner life. Most people are a mile wide and an inch deep. Probe their depths.

Now, somewhat harder, how many distant relatives do you have who you can say the same about, whom you are polite to at family events, but wouldn't be friends with, if you weren't

related? How many of them must you avoid awkward political discussions with? Whether it is a co-worker, a casual friend, or a cousin, almost all of us have people peripherally in our lives with whom we might have to redefine the nature of our association following The Balk. Why? Well, obviously politics and religion will come to be much more important than work gossip or the ball game or Aunt Edna's casserole, when America falls apart. People will be killing and dying over those differences. In most Civil Wars, eventually situations have arisen where it became literally brother against brother. If your cousin showed up with his Mexican girlfriend and their half-Mexican kids in the middle of a race war and wanted refuge, that could put you automatically on a whole different side. What would you do? Now would be the time to evaluate everyone in your family and your circle of friends, to decide.

In most refugee scenarios, the first instinct is to seek out friends and family, naturally enough. Could you imagine 'doubling up' with your brother-in-law and his family, while every mouthful of food they ate came from your kids' table? Even if he was a great guy to hang out with before the war, would you want to live with him, after things got messy? Even under the best circumstances, that could be uncomfortable. So, it all depends on what you are willing to put up with, and from whom.

Who can you trust to not steal from you and your family? Who can you trust to be alone with your wife and your kids? Who can you trust to not act stupid and create a feud with your neighbors? Who can you trust to not decide to be a

noncombatant or want to switch sides, when it's time to fight? Those with divided racial loyalties could be untrustworthy, obviously. Sympathy and compassion for the 'other' could be a fatal liability. Having too many scruples, likewise. What kind of person would you most like to cohabitate with, and have your fate bound inexorably to theirs? Which of your friends and family simply don't make the cut? Which might you take a chance on and let in, regardless? Under what criteria? How much canned food they show up with? How close kin they are? Where do you draw your lines?

Think of it in terms of lifeboat morality. You cannot save everyone. Does that mean that you will lock the windows and refuse to save anyone, or that you will fling open your doors and refuse to save yourself and your family? If there is a middle ground, then that will require some discrimination. The best way to accomplish discrimination is through prejudice, beforehand. Be prejudiced, and discriminate.

## Chapter Eight

*Lessons Learned*




As I was writing this book, Montenegro became a new nation. They're not alone. A full three-quarters, 75%, of the nations in the United Nations today simply didn't exist fifty years ago. Since there's not been all of that much new real estate created in that time, it begs the question, 'from whence they came'? The answer, is from Balkanization. It happens all the time. All of the cool kids are doing it. We should try it, too. Come on, first one's free.

It's not just Sieg-Heiling White Nationalists who think so. Even left-wing Hispanics such as former Mexican government official and Harvard professor Juan Enriquez asked in his 'The Untied States of America: Polarization, Fracturing, and Our Future', "Can a country be like a marriage that has run out of cash and steam, resulting in the inevitable frank discussions about just who is pulling his or her own weight? Eventually, even those who love each other sometimes conclude they cannot stay together." And how much more so, we wonder, for those who never loved each other to begin with, for those forced together in arranged shotgun marriages based on domestic abuse?

Enriquez posits that his native Mexico may balkanize into four separate countries, one of which will include the current U.S. border states, within our lifetimes. He also foretells the breakup of America along racial and cultural lines. Will the stars and stripes still fly over you, fifty years from now? If so, how many stars will it have? Fifty? Fifty-five? Forty-five? From the outsider's view of America that Enriquez represents, "When the enemy was outside—for example, the threat perceived when the Soviet Union launched Sputnik and people feared America would lose the brain race—we rallied. Now the enemy is within, and we polarize. Defaming the legitimacy of people on the "other" side becomes the currency of the day, where people in blue states are seen as godless liberal elitists and those in red states are seen as, well, rednecks." Well, of course, he's right, Because they ARE, by God!

Now, we've talked about some of the worst case scenarios, and I've alluded to the fact that the decline might be slower and softer than myself and Thomas Chittum believe. I've even implied that a quick death would be better for our people, and our nation, as it would allow for a larger percentage of Whites to survive and hold on to more territory, and therefore take back the rest of the continent earlier than otherwise would be the case. But what of the slow death, the gentler going into the (not so) good night?

As Alfred W. McCoy through Salon magazine reported in their article "How America Will Collapse", "in 2008, the U.S. National Intelligence Council admitted for the first time that America's global power was indeed on a declining trajectory. In one of its periodic futuristic reports, Global Trends 2025, the Council cited "the transfer of global wealth and economic power now under way, roughly from West to East" and "without precedent in modern history," as the primary factor in the decline of the "United States' relative strength — even in the military realm.""

Here's the optimistic, from the flag-waving patriots (and Professor McCoy's) vantage, possibility: When the U.S. dollar loses its special status as the world's reserve currency, the cost of imports will soar. We already run third behind China and the European Union in overall economic clout based on production and exports, with India not far behind. Unable to pay for swelling deficits with devalued Treasury notes, the military budget will have to be slashed, forcing the withdrawal of U.S. forces from Asia, the Middle East, and Europe, back to our own

continent. But this scenario fails to factor in immigration, racial strife, the growing polarization of the electorate, and the widening gulf between political ideologies. To put it bluntly, we’re not going to get off that easily.

Let’s review, shall we?

"Political power grows out of the barrel of a gun." - Mao Tse-tung

"I am not an American; I am one of twenty-two million black people who are victims of Americanism." - Malcolm X

"It is in the North that the final and climatic battle over the carcass of imperial America will be fought, and an exclusively white nation will likely arise." - Thomas W. Chittum (Civil War Two)

"I was actively discriminating against whites. While selecting college students for officer candidate school, I routinely turned down long lines of qualified white males to save room for blacks. I denied whites interviews. Every few months I threw stacks of their resumes into the trash." - Marine Corps Captain, C. J. Chivers (The Nation, "Looking For A Few Good (Black) Men", Oct. 16, 1995, Pg. 428)

"The great questions of the day will be decided not by speeches and majority votes ... but by iron and blood." - Otto Von Bismark

"People who are vigorous and brutal often find war enjoyable, provided that it is a victorious war and that there is not too much interference with rape and plunder." - Bertrand Russell

"May you live in interesting times!" - Unknown (Ancient Chinese Curse)

"The Deep Green’ers took over Oregon ... If you weren't one of them, you didn't get a breathing license, and they tied a plastic bag over your head." - William Lind (The Washington Post, "Militant Musings", April 30, 1995, Sect. 3, p. 3)

"We have a double vision. We look at our diversity, and we also look at Bosnia - and we worry." - Ronald Takaki (Professor of Ethnic Studies, University of California, Berkley)

"There are a thousand hacking at the branches of evil to one who is striking at its root." - Henry David Thoreau

"We must act with vindictive earnestness against the Sioux, even to their extermination, men, women, and children. Nothing less will reach the root of the cause." - Gen. William T. Sherman

Everyone wants to think that they’re the center of the Universe, which is why Galileo had such a rough time of it. As a corollary, people like to think that human existence will end with them, which explains the popularity of apocalyptic fiction and movies. In the year 999, people gave away all of their possessions and stood around outside awaiting the rapture. Every generation expects to be the last. A thousand years later, we’re still hopeful.

But The Balk, ultimately, is not about an end. It’s about a new beginning, a return, a recovery. Humanity was tooling along just fine, until the designated driver dozed off and somebody from the back seat grabbed the wheel. We’re headed for the ditch, all right, but this will be our chance to either slam on the brakes, or shove open the door and roll out. Let’s hope for a soft landing.

My duty as a watchman is to warn the people I care about and have accepted a responsibility for about the present and coming dangers we will face. This book is a part of my effort to sound the alarm. Even odds are that the United States of America is headed towards Balkanization, or breaking up into smaller, racially based nations, within the next decade. It MIGHT not, but if you believe in preparing for tornadoes or hurricanes or a Zombie Apocalypse, then Balkanization is more likely than either of those three, and worth taking out insurance to cover. The kind of psychological, physical, and geographical insurance which just might save your family’s lives, when America falls apart.

The most important insurance policy that you can invest in, is making sure that you are on the right side of the front lines when the lights go out for good. I hope this book has encouraged you to, in the words of the old R.E.M. song,

“Stand in the place where you live,

Now face north,

Think about direction,

Wonder why you haven't before,

Now stand in the place where you work,

Now face west,

Think about the place where you live,

Wonder why you haven't before-

If you are confused, check with the sun,

Carry a compass to help you along,

Your feet are going to be on the ground,

Your head is there to move you around…

If wishes were trees, the trees would be falling,

Listen to reason, reason is calling,

Your feet are going to be on the ground,

Your head is there to move you around,

So stand (Stand!)”

Just don’t stand there for too long, waiting to make up your mind. New America is coming. The Balk is approaching. Will you be ready?

**The End**

Also by this author:

'Look Away: an alternate history of the Civil War'

'The Ice Path: A Way Forward'

'Glome's Saga'

'PaleoAmerican Ethnic Diversity'

'Hasten The Day'

'Waiting For The Sun: Hasten The Day, Part II'

'Wasting The Dawn: Hasten The Day, Part III'

Billy Roper is a former high school and junior high History, Civics, Economics, and Geography teacher. He has been a radio and tv host, a candidate for public office, and a lifelong political activist, leader, and spokesman. Mr. Roper has a Bachelor's degree in History and Political Science and a Master of Liberal Arts degree in History with a specialty in Anthropology. He currently resides with his wife, two stepsons, her cats, and his dog in what will become New America. Mr. Roper may be reached through e-mail at roper_billy@yahoo.com, or through postal mail at P.O. Box 2154, Harrison, AR., 72602.

Map key: Immigration to and from California, 2000 to 2010 (from within the U.S. only, to U.S. destinations only.) White flight to Washington, Colorado, Oregon, and Nevada; black flight to North Carolina, Georgia, and Florida, some Hispanic remigration to Texas and Arizona.

**Preparatory Exercise: Below this map, make a list of destinations you might seek refuge in, from nearest to furthest away from you geographically. On the next page, plan out three separate routes of how you might get there.**




White share of county population 90% or more*
* Only non-Hispanics
WWW.WASHINGTONPOST.COM/BLOGS/GOVBEAT/

**Did your planned destination refuge lie safely outside of the red and orange areas on the map below? Did your planned evacuation routes safely go around any red or orange areas? If not, consider re-routing your trip, and if at all possible, try to make sure that your destination refuge lies in one of the safe zones depicted as 90% White or more on the previous page.**



**Here is a more detailed view of the American southeast, which will in all likelihood become, at least temporarily, a 'New Africa'. Do you live in or adjacent to a danger zone? If so, it's time to move north. Tennessee, Kentucky, and West Virginia will be the southern border states of New America.**



**NonWhites are already a majority among those 15 years of age and younger in the United States. The trend is snowballing into a demographic nightmare. I will leave you with one final thought, therefore: if it must happen, then it would be better if it happens sooner, rather than later. Every day it is delayed we lose the relative equivalent of a full combat company's strength of Whites. Time is not on our side, and we cannot win a war of attrition. One last time: Pray for war. Bring on The Balk. Hasten The Day.**